

157 responses to “Whose Social Security Number Did Obama Steal?”

[← Older Comments](#)



1. *Miri* | [April 3, 2011 at 12:48 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Barry's BC# was assigned after the Nordyke twins, though he was born before them. But since there are only 3 numbers between his and theirs, then how would this indicate that his registration was made at another location?

If the number was assigned at that ancillary location, then how could the various locations assign numbers without duplication? There wasn't an Internet back then. The only way would be to call a central location for the next number. Not likely. How could it be done? It sounds like supposition, not proof or evidence. Just sayin. Not disputing the other information he gives about process, but a string of assumptions isn't evidence.

It suffices to say that not only doesn't that announcement give a birth PLACE, it doesn't NAME the child (says only a son, when BHO Sr. had many sons), and it doesn't NAME the “Mrs.”, either (says only Mrs., when BHO Sr. had at least 3 if not 4 or more wives). We've said this from the beginning, so how can it possibly prove ANYTHING? It can't.



2. *Miri* | [April 3, 2011 at 1:03 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Besides, there was no announcement in the actual newspaper. It's as real as the COLB. There is no actual paper document that underlies that COLB, just as there is no actual newspaper that underlies those announcements. They were created because even after the COLB came out, people still wanted proof. They couldn't produce the paper COLB because it's fake. They couldn't produce an original BC because there is none, or if there is, it's not from Hawaii. Or if there is one from Hawaii, what's on it wouldn't match the COLB, which would prove the fraud. So they came up with another type of “proof” that was “contemporaneous” and which they could use to discredit people who wouldn't accept the COLB. They cauterized the original microfilms and prayed that nobody has a copy of the 1961 newspaper. They probably made sure to cauterize any copies that would be stored in paper form at the newspaper archives. Easy to do when friends of person in question used to work for the newspapers in question. And when the entire state, basically, consists of supporters. Poof! No more original versions of that newspaper. Not needed, if they're on microfilm. Conveniently spliced microfilms. Cauterized in all locations. Plant the bogus announcement on an opposition blog and presto! The media has something to bludgeon everyone with. The ridicule was dripping from their keyboards, just like to this day it drips from O'Reilly's lips.

Do you really think back in 1961 there was a conspiracy to plant those announcements in the newspaper because some day he might want to be POTUS?

No, the conspiracy took place in 2008, not 1961. There is no newspaper with an announcement in it. They're digital images on the Internet and the microfilms were tampered with to insert his

announcement. Unfortunately, it was imperfect proof because the format didn't allow them to give his name or his mother's name or state the place of birth.



o *Bridgette* | [April 3, 2011 at 2:10 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Well said. When the Left talks about the conspiracy ..they try to make people think that the conspiracy occurred in 1961 so they can say it was conjured up 40+ years ago and laugh. That diverts attention from the fact that the birth announcement was manufactured just for Obama. The game played out that it was found by a "researcher," (Lisa? who disappeared) and then dropped off at an opposition's website. (TD's) It had to be acknowledged by the opposition because if the Obots found it and published it, then it wouldn't be considered "real."

Can't someone in Hawaii come up with a yellowed copy..one that has lined a dresser drawer for years or has been used for shelving paper? You'd think one copy would have survived. Lets see a real one.

Donald would you do this? Put an ad in an Hawaiian paper and offer a huge reward for a copy of those two ad sheets so everyone will search for it. Lots of garages and cupboards would get cleaned out! No worry, you won't lose any money.



▪ *Miri* | [April 3, 2011 at 10:41 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Lori Starfelt, whoever she is, is the person who supplied the "announcement" (the first one revealed) to Texas Darlin'. That was a PUMA/Hillary-supporter blog (originally). So that made that blog "opposition" enough without involving Republican or conservative blogs, which might have actually investigated the provenance of the "document." Does anybody remember whether Lori supposedly got an actual paper document from Hawaii or just a digital image emailed to her? I think TD got digital images. I forget how, when, and where the second announcement was produced. It's all fishy.

MOST CERTAINLY! One would think that any of the parents of other kids whose births were announced those days would have saved the paper. But if anyone did, would any of them have the GUTS to go against not only Barry but also the entire lamestream media as well as the Democratic Machine in Hawaii?

In addition, newspapers ALWAYS save paper copies of their editions. Even libraries sometimes save paper copies. I've seen them bound into huge books at libraries I go to. So why wouldn't the state archives in Hawaii have originals? Or the newspapers themselves? Disappeared? That would be my guess. Burned like a Koran.

Even if a person had a copy, the obots probably already have a ready excuse if Barry's not in it. Let me guess: They will say there were several versions printed (you know, like one star, two star, final daily edition), so anybody who has an actual paper must not have the edition that Barry was in. See? It's a web of lies but they can always "SPIN" out a new false thread for everyone to follow. btw, is that why it's called *spin*?



3. *Bridgette* | [April 4, 2011 at 6:04 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Barack Obama's favor to George Soros

April 03, 2011

Editor's note: Ed Lasky, American Thinker's News Editor, has an op-ed in today's Pittsburgh Tribune-Review on a favor the Obama administration has given to InterOil, a foreign oil company that is a major holding of George Soros's hedge fund.

The column is based on an earlier American Thinker column that outlines how our government is spending our tax dollars to help develop the oil and gas resources of Papua New Guinea, where InterOil has the vast bulk of its assets.

George Soros has a huge ownership interest in a company called InterOil, whose one major asset is reportedly a huge reservoir of natural gas in New Guinea. He has been increasing his ownership interests in recent months and, as of last November, showed an 11.9 percent ownership stake. His InterOil holding is the third-largest stock holding in his hedge fund.

http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2011/04/barack_obamas_favor_to_george.html



4. *Bridgette* | [April 4, 2011 at 5:40 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Need help with Obama's Selective service info

Monday, April 04, 2011 12:12:57 PM by RWGinger

About a month or so ago there was a thread in here on Odumbo's selective service application which showed he used what we now know is a Conn SSN. We were able to go to the gov't selective service site, put in his info and see the entire form which showed the fake SSN. 042-68-4425

Back then I used the link and sure enough his name and information about then he applied came up. NOW when I go to the site it tells me I have used all the attempts I have that day, even though it is the first attempt.

So please someone try it and see if you get the Obama appl. If you get the used all your attempts message and you have a SSN for someone who has registered please try that the site

<https://www.sss.gov/RegVer/wfVerification.aspx>


SSN 042-68-4425 birth date 08041961

I think the gov't has blocked Odumbo info

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/2699497/posts>




- o *Bridgette* | [April 4, 2011 at 5:42 pm](#) | [Reply](#)




If by clicking on this, it doesn't enlarge, I added it up above to the article. Previously, the photo I found was only half of the picture.

This shows the Selective Service Information as well as Obama's usage of the fake Social Security Number in the bottom right box.


- o  *RacerJim* | [April 9, 2011 at 11:17 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I just tried to get the Obama application and got the same error message.


Apparentle HAL (2001 A Space Odyssey) has blocked Obummer's phoney info.

-  *Bridgette* | [April 9, 2011 at 11:37 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I remember that happening before when WND told the story about his SS# or his Selective Service #.

-  *Renee* | [April 9, 2011 at 12:01 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

HAL...LOL ! Good one Jim !

-  *SEO* | [April 13, 2011 at 2:43 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I wrote to the "help" address at the selective service website and got this answer:
Sir,

It's a safeguard we added to our online verification after an attempted hacking of our system several years ago.

I will ask you to wait 24 hours and try again. The system will reboot by then. We regret the inconvenience

So, i tried it again today and the same result. I put in other names and had no problems. I complained again and this time they told me to call

Please call the Data Management Center directly at 1-888-655-1825, between 8 am and 330 pm., Central Time (the earlier the better).

The system otherwise seems to be functioning normally.



- *Renee* | [April 13, 2011 at 3:16 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

smells.....

The system otherwise seems to be functioning normally.



- *SEO* | [April 13, 2011 at 3:20 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I admit, I don't have the guts to call. Anyone else here is free to use that phone number if they want



- *Miri* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:18 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Don't blame you, SEO. People have done this before. Sat up until midnight to try to be the first. It's not true what they say. You can do other individuals over and over and over and it won't lock you out.



- *Jess Chi* | [May 4, 2011 at 10:54 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I ran the name and number and got a confirmation for an Obama, born on that date with that ssn. When I got to work I ran the name Woods, with his DOB and the same ssn and no results were found. I know Woods died at age 19 and you must register within 30 days of of your 18th b-day. Can someone look into this.



- 5. *Bridgette* | [April 7, 2011 at 10:11 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Did Obama 'miss' 1 year of Columbia classes?

Discrepancy appears in sparse records documenting attendance

April 06, 2011 Snips

What's this? Did Barack Obama only attend Columbia University for nine months? That was the suggestion of a report obtained from the Student Clearinghouse by **eligibility gadfly Orly Taitz**, an attorney who has represented a number of clients in legal challenges pertaining to Obama's bona fides.

But according to information obtained by WND, it appears Obama did indeed attend Columbia for two years.

But she explained she got information that suggested he wasn't there during that time."Columbia official records show him attending Columbia university only for nine months September 1982-till May 1983 (Exhibit 3)," she wrote. The document states clearly that Obama attended "09/01/1982 to 05/31/1983."

NOW THE STORY CHANGES.....


But according to information provided by the clearinghouse to a WND source, Obama attended from "09/1981" to "05/1983" and finished with a Bachelor of Arts degree in political science.

School spokesman Robert Hornsby told WND that **federal law prohibits the release** of much of the information about a student, **but he could confirm that** "*Barack Obama applied for and was granted admission to Columbia College as a transfer student in 1981. He enrolled for the fall term of that year as a political science major. With the conclusion of the spring semester of 1983, Obama completed the requirements for a Bachelor of Arts degree in political science and graduated with his class.*"

He said the school had no other comment.


Taitz told WND that the school's statements were "nonsense."

<http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=283965#ixzz1IphTN4iB>


- o  Bridgette | [April 7, 2011 at 10:21 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

WND is painting Orly as a eligibility gadfly? Now this School Spokeman, who has no title shown, Hornsby, says he can't release information due to federal laws, but does? How many other people have contacted Columbia..such as Dr. Manning and could get no information? Now all of a sudden Hornsby becomes a spokesman?

The reason the Columbia dates were important was because Orly got information that no one else had been able to do! Right after her information is made public, Columbia quickly has a spokesman!

-  Renee | [April 13, 2011 at 2:28 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Gadfly ? how rude. Funny how anyone asking real questions gets a crude moniker thisfast ?

- 6.  Bridgette | [April 13, 2011 at 1:55 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

AKA Obama – We’ve Got Your Number – 042-68-4425

Update: just to make things more obscure look at this:

It is only one number off from AKA Obama’s (or Ludwig’s) number and was applied for on 3/21/77 in Hartford CT.

The record shows an 87 year old man applied for a second SS# when he already had one issued in 1951-1952. Four years later he is dead and now AKA Obama uses this number 042-68-4425 on his selective service application. The original Ludwig number 045-26-8722 was never reported as deceased and thus he is 121 years old on the records. Conveniently, as Obama needs a number, Ludwig dies in 1981 and his 4 year old SS# is deactivated..

<http://thesteadydrip.blogspot.com/2011/04/aka-obama-weve-got-your-number-042-68.html>

We question much of the information presented.



o *Miri* | [April 13, 2011 at 2:30 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I’d like to know EXACTLY where/how these researchers got the information that Ludwig HAD 2 SS#’s, and that one of them was Barry’s.

Remember, Bridgette, that you debunked this claim before? You found his number wasn’t anything like Barry’s. So where do people come up with the claim that Ludwig got ANOTHER SS# in 1977? It drives me crazy. FACTS. EVIDENCE. PLEASE!

These kinds of *unsubstantiated* claims are what makes it so easy for people to discredit us all as crazy “birthers”. We’re not, because, as an example, we NEVER accepted that Lucas Smith BC as real. We kept open minds about it. Same with this Ludwig issue. If something doesn’t add up, we say so.

So these researcher say that Ludwig had two death records but he didn’t. SS reports the LAST KNOWN RESIDENCE. Ludwig apparently DIED in California but had at some point lived in Hawaii and that was the last residence on file for him. Maybe he lived with relatives when he got too old to live alone. Maybe he was in a hospital there.

Although, it sure is suspicious that he was in Hawaii, Connecticut, AND California—all states associated with Barry. But they were looking for men born in 1890 who lived in those states, so that’s what they found. Where’s the proof that Ludwig had two different SS#’s and that one is the one Barry uses today?

If anyone knows a source for the information that Ludwig used two SS#’s, one the same as Barry’s, please tell us. I’ve never seen anything that proves that Mr. Ludwig used that number ending in 25.

Woods’s mother filled out that form, so she’s the neurotic that Dr. P diagnoses. I’d hate for him to see my handwriting.



o *alfy* | [August 9, 2011 at 10:20 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I am reposting here Miri, so you can delete my post I made earlier today. And I am adding this short how to on some pertinent info some weird dude has on changing Identity. It will give everyone a bit more food for thought in thinking on these social security # issues. Now siting just what was just said here above this may be of help. This man's discussion on this issue are a bit odd at first but do read on. You will probably get why I said what I did this morning which is below. I'm editing a bit now that this is being moved....Here read this please, by John Q. NewmanIt starts out talking about changing ID because of aids rendering people in financial woes.....but keep reading....it's priceless.
<http://www.loompanics.com/Articles/LivingDead.htm>

since your caption today is Flouting the Law, I got another tidbit. I am following "yalls" posts but I am doing a little research; which has led me to believe thus: The Reason for all those parking tickets while at Harvard. I've been reading some interesting facts and even a HOW TO take on new identities. With a bit of practice or learning from the masters of deceit, one can Kooley get away with a new ID. Some time or other I will post a brief discription on the matter,,,,,but for now....one way to properly establish proof of a new name identity (say like, from Barry....to Barrack) you need a bit of legal proofso that you are now with the identity of Barrack in the state of Ma.(not the state you got your stolen ID from) that you are who you are. So you leave this old rust bucket car parked all the time in illegal parkingyou get lots of tickets with your new ID on them.it's safe and effective(just a silly ticket,but you now have proof that you are Barrack H. Obama. Of course you had previously done all the other stuff....this is just the validation. Remember, he's someone else before we hear of him as Barrack for certain ,in Boston. This is just a little part of it.....Now the Obama Buckner one is another interesting concept....I won't labor anyone yet with that one, but it is now beginning to make sense. When one changes an ID, using a deceased person ,it is best to move away from the state where the SS# and ID's were issued.(Think about Ann's too) Then of course we all know about the dead baby trick for ID theft (I didn't realize that's an old trick). ANYWAY.....I am working on some stuff, like Ruth's parents (which again, quite interesting,but nothing conclusive).I Do Believe that Ruth happened to use Nides as her last ID.....and there are two Shirley Nides who are deceased. There are only two Nides people in Massechusettes and the only woman in Mass. was born in 1882, thus being a bit old to be her mom.....The other a male born in 1901 and odds are that's not her father. Neither of these two people died or recieved death benefits from Ma.,but in NY. Chances are that Ruth may have used Nides from a SS death ,but eventually changed her ID to Ruth, and there are not many Nides individuals that even fit the description to be her parents. If you feel you must put this somewhere else, that's fine, I just thought it fit,while not a current topic it is an important one.



- *alfy* | [August 9, 2011 at 10:22 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Woops, I didn't edit out some of what I said this morning, but I think you can still get the idea. Feel free to make this better, if it isn't too much trouble.



7. *Bridgette* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:02 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Peter Boyles Radio with Mario Apuzzo

Tuesday, April 12, 2011


April 12, 2011 7am

Whose Social Security Number is Barack Hussein Obama aka Barry Soetoro using? Full Page ad taken out and put into the Washington Times and appeared today. Focusing on the fraudulent issue of Obama using the Social Security Number. His selective service application uses that social security number. There is a lawsuit by Orly against the Social Security administration.

1977 issued when Medicare was just started. Obama was 15 working supposedly in Hawaii. The number became known to someone in the Obama family. He has never been domiciled in Connecticut. Investigator will not not say that the number belonged to John Paul Ludwig. His draft registration uses that social security number.

Now the Social Security Administration is changing their laws for passport.

[audio src="http://www.khow.com/cc-common/podcast/single_page.html?podcast=fullshow_boyles&selected_podcast=04122011PETE7A.mp3" /]


- o  *tdr* | [April 13, 2011 at 8:00 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

here is another link:

The REAL STORY – the Fraudulent Social Security Number – An Exclusive T-Room Interview w/Private Investigator, Susan Daniels

<http://www.t-room.us/2011/04/its-the-social-security-number-stupid/>

also listen to interview embedded in article. it was very good.

- 8.  *Bridgette* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:09 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Peter Boyles Radio with Mario Apuzzo

Tuesday, April 12, 2011

Trump should demand to see that original COLB and have it forensically investigated.

Full page Ad is in the Washington Times today regarding Obama's multiple social security card numbers. Kerchner and Apuzzo are both guests.

News this morning, out of Hawaii. Interview done by Michael Ish for NBC, contacted former director, Fukino; they are doing this because Trump is calling Obama out. Why are they calling a former director, and of course, this person is giving new information. **If you analyze what she says, she is the only one that has ever seen it.** Only one person and it is her that has seen it. Someone went with her, but **the only evidence there is has only been seen by this one person. She was very careful to say where it is, and it could be gone if someone goes there today.**

Abercrombie found nothing.



- o *Miri* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:41 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

This is really concerning if they're setting it up that Fukino says she saw it, knew where it was but then it "disappears." Not Barry's fault. It WAS there. So then Fukino can come make an affidavit. Right? Where's the danger if it's all safely disappeared? They got away with the Sandy Burglar affair. They got away with the passport cauterization. They got away with the fake (it says it's invalid!) COLB on BLOGS. Will they get away with this now, too? I hope Trump has a trump card to play.



- o *Renee* | [April 13, 2011 at 6:58 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The only evidence has been seen by this one person. She was very careful to say where it is, and it could be gone if someone goes there today.

it could be gone if someone goes there today

WHAT MANURE ! Oh boy what a spin ! What a childish game this all has turned into. Arkansas has oceanfront land for sale too.

Does she WANT someone to go there ? Like now ?



- 9. *Miri* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:14 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

That's a good point about how it could be disappeared. Why say where it's located? We've already seen the Sandy Burglar affair. And the cauterization of the passport files.

See my comment on the FactCheck post about what Sharon Rondeau is reporting: **HDOH no longer will give out copies of long-forms, although they did only weeks ago, right before Trump started questioning Obama's birth AND they've removed the parents' birth places from the SHORT-forms.**

All convenient for Barry: He will only be able to get a short-form to give Secretaries of State. Sorry. And it won't say his parents' places of birth. Sorry. And he just can't get the long-form. Sorry. So you'll just have to take somebody's word for it. Maybe Maya can sign an affidavit. Or maybe "Reverend" Wright can provide a baptismal certificate. This is beyond ridiculous. It would be funny if it weren't so blatantly corrupt.



- 10. *Bridgette* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:23 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Peter Boyles Radio with Mario Apuzzo
Tuesday, April 12, 2011

Nordyke twins. talking about the numbers on the BC's.

Ludwig.

Looking for the death certificates of infants that died in Hawaii?

Two possibilities.. One that he is registered in Hawaii, but not born there. Two, He was registered and born there, but there is something on it ..if adopted, birth and place of birth stay the same, but the parents named change. No religion is on the birth certificate.

Fukino said there was a doctor's name on it. But she didn't name the hospital. She left off the hospital. She is in a nest of lies.

This campaign worker said in 2007, Obama was testy about his birth certificate..there was no issue then. Why was he testy then?

Donald Trump can blow this wide apart. He has the smarts, the recognition, to do this within two months.

The new Ad was sent to Trump, an all members of Congress re Social Security Number. Identify theft and fraud. I think we are dealing with an illegal alien in the WH. **The White House is sweating bullets now is what they think!** This could be the Al Capone on Obama. [Capone was arrested on tax evasion!]


Tim Adams said there was no birth certificate for Obama.

One of them thinks he looks like the younger brother, the drug dealer.

o  *tdr* | [April 13, 2011 at 8:03 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

2007 was quite a year. Isn't that the year butterdezillion says there is evidence that the records were amended?

It is also the year that the form for the selective service registration that is dated 1980.


11.  *Bridgette* | [April 13, 2011 at 4:36 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Atty Mario Apuzzo and CDR Kerchner (Ret) were on the Peter Boyle Radio Show on KHOW 630 in Denver CO – Tuesday 12 April 2011 @ 9 a.m. EST.

Who is this guy..it is a secret identity. A guy named Barry.

Why are Ann Coulter, O'Reilly, Carl Rove etc. all saying they have seen something? On Thursday, they will play things being said by the top conservatives.

<http://puzo1.blogspot.com/2011/04/atty-mario-apuzzo-and-cdr-kerchner-ret.html>

12.  *Sam Sewell* | [April 20, 2011 at 10:35 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The Three Straws That Break AKA Obama's Back
(with a little help from Congress)

1. There is enough evidence to seek a federal indictment against AKA Obama for Social Security fraud
2. AKA Obama has admitted that he is not a "Natural Born Citizen" which is a requirement for the Office of President under Article 2, Section 1 of the Constitution
3. AKA Obama has never been properly vetted as being born in the United States, and many other aspects of his history have been hidden from the citizens of the United States.

Let's take a closer look at each of these three "straws":

<http://thesteadydrip.blogspot.com/2011/04/three-straws-that-break-aka-obamas-back.html>



13. *Jess Chi* | [May 2, 2011 at 10:06 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Since a SSN is necessary for a passport and the POTUS moved out of country in 1965, why is all this discussion about a ssn given out in the 70's when he needed a ssn on or before 1965? Why is there no draft registration for Woods who died at 19? Registration must be filed no more than 30 days after the 18th b-day (<https://www.sss.gov/RegVer/wfVerification.aspx>)?

I don't care either way. Just sayin



o *Bridgette* | [May 4, 2011 at 11:22 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Jess,

You are saying that the number that Obama uses is now listed in his name? If so, that is amazing that his name would show. The SSI is a death index.

Thomas Wood never used the same number. He was the number before the one Obama was illegally using. The number for Thomas Wood was only used to determine a date range when the next consecutive number would occur. I don't know if anyone looked to see if Thomas signed up for a selective service number and I don't see any relevance or reason to check it.

042-68-4424 Thomas Wood
042-68-4425 none – The number Obama uses

I will check your URL when it is up, it is down for maintenance.



14. *Bridgette* | [June 6, 2011 at 10:54 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Update: "IT'S THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER, STUPID!" w/Susan Daniels

Posted by helen

June 6, 2011 Snips

Back on April 13, 2011, the T-Room predicted that President Obama would soon post a scanned version of what his inner circle would designate as his "Official" long-form birth certificate.

With both sides seemingly taking a short pause in the battle for reality, we thought it a good time to provide you with an update on the “It’s the Social Security Number, Stupid!” front. A lot has been happening with some detail already being reported while other detail has not.

We first caught up with Susan Daniels,

Two documents – One embarrassingly ridiculous and the other tightens the window

Daniels brings our attention to two new documents with the first being an **official response from the Social Security Administration** to Ms. Daniels request for an investigation into how a legal Hawaiian resident, then Barry Obama, age 15, secured a Connecticut issued Social Security Number. A **Mr. Frank Biro, Acting Associate Commissioner, Office of Public Inquiries, Social Security Administration, issued the formal reply by stating the following** “*after reviewing the information you provided, we disagree with your conclusion that a person’s Social Security number depends upon the address of a residence.*”

The next document Daniels highlights is a **Social Security Certification of a deceased applicant named Paul Michael Graziano**. Mr. Graziano **filed his SS-5 application (scroll to page 2) with a Connecticut Social Security office on March 16, 1977**. His residential address then was 38 Yorktown Circle, Trumbull, Conn. 06611.

Why is this significant? Well, Mr. Graziano’s SSN is five numbers AFTER Obama’s Connecticut SSN and, again, was filed on March 16, 1977. You may recall in our April post Daniels explaining a Mr. Thomas Wood’s SS-5 Certification form, which immediately preceded Obama’s Connecticut SSN, being applied for on March 21, 1977. Therefore, it is now conclusive that the Connecticut Social Security number **Obama secured at the age of 15, and has been using for the last 25 years, was secured in March of 1977 and more narrowly, sometime during the week of March 16 – 21, 1977.**

Timeline – Wood/Obama/Graziano

SSN REGISTRATION DATE NAME ADDRESS

042-68-4424 March 21, 1977 **Thomas Louis Wood** Newington, CT

042-68-4425 March ??, 1977 **Barack Hussein Obama** Honolulu, HI

042-68-4429 March 16, 1977 **Paul Michael Graziano** Yorktown, CT

Daniels then goes onto explain, “his location in March of 1977 is a quandary because the Social Security regulations, as codified then and today, clearly states ‘If you are age 12 or older and have never received a Social Security Number, you must apply in person.’

<http://www.t-room.us/2011/06/update-its-the-social-security-number-stupid-wsusan-daniels/#more-5043>



15. [Catholic Glasses](#) | [May 24, 2012 at 6:33 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Reblogged this on [Catholic Glasses](#) and commented:

OMG he is committing Social Security Fraud and Identity Fraud. So many crimes, and nobody is holding him accountable.



16. [Gina](#) | [July 7, 2012 at 4:54 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Obama's real name is Tim Osman.



17. [john parker](#) | [September 28, 2012 at 12:13 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Q: Why doesn't Obama help his brother in Kenya? A: Because he ISN'T REALLY HIS BROTHER BECAUSE FRANK MARSHALL DAVIS IS REALLY HIS FATHER!!.. Obama is using a STOLEN birth certificate (Virginia Sunahara), STOLEN Social Security number and FALSIFIED Selective Service Card.

Q: Why can't Virginia Sunahara's (deceased) brother Duncan get a copy of her ORIGINAL birth record?? Hawaii will NOT let him see it or have it. WHY NOT? Because Obama STOLE her birth record and is using it. Obama wasn't even born on Aug. 4th, 1961. Didn't Obama say at a speech before a black audience that Rosa Parks inspired his parents to have a baby?? Rosa Parks sat on that bus in 1965, not 1961!!!!!!



o [Miri](#) | [September 28, 2012 at 12:26 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Welcome, John. Your comment about why Barry doesn't help his "brother" George is certainly possible. It may also be that he's a totally selfish, narcissitic jerk. He also didn't bother to really visit his "grandmother" Madelyn, when she was dying. He went there only (probably) to get her to sign an affidavit for a late BC for him and also to make sure her house arrest was working well. He also wasn't at his "mother's" side as she lay dying. That's what I call "devotion". All of it adds up to the fact that either they're NOT his family, or he totally HATED them because they're white, or he's just a sociopath. Take your pick.

As for the Sunahara BC, there's SOMETHING rotten in Hawaii on that one. Duncan (another Shakespeare-like coincidink) can't get that record so, fo shizzle, they've messed with it. butterdezillion's blog lately indicates that it's far more complex than that they simply took HER number for his. She thinks it was like a game of dominoes falling. I believe her latest theory is that Stig Waidelich's number is really the one Barry has and then they bumped the numbers along, from BC to BC, ending with Sunahara's, so none of those people whose numbers have been changed will be able to get real copies. Maybe I'm wrong about her theory, but that's what I took from the post. That they are moving the numbers around to make it APPEAR as if they didn't assign them the way we KNOW they did assign them, which makes it IMPOSSIBLE for Barry to have that number that's higher than the Nordykes' numbers.

Obama has told so many lies about his background that even he doesn't know how to keep the lying fable straight. He said the marches in Selma inspired his birth, if I remember correctly. That was off, too. His so-called babysitter's original memory would put his birth in late 1960/early 1961, not in August.



o [What A Hoot](#) | [September 28, 2012 at 2:14 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Well, at this point I could believe the Pillsbury Doughboy is Obama's father. But.....when I saw George interviewed in the movie 2016 and how he sat on the bench, his form, and mannerisms,

well..... for the first time I actually thought their were brothers. The entire thing is quite the goose chase but eventually that goose is gonna be caught — and cooked!



18. *d. lee* | [August 26, 2013 at 9:15 am](#) | [Reply](#)

my ss number —684425 issued in 1954 why is that sob using my numberi can tell you I was born in wash dc in 1954, odont know what all this is about but I am going to find out



o *Miri* | [August 26, 2013 at 10:20 am](#) | [Reply](#)

That's an interesting allegation.



▪ *SEO* | [August 26, 2013 at 11:15 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Wow, it sure is....can you provide some evidence? No need to hide part of your SS number if you're alleging it is the one BHO is using is there? I mean, the whole number is available to anyone who cares to search the internet. Or, this site: 042-68-4425



▪ *SEO* | [August 26, 2013 at 11:17 am](#) | [Reply](#)

And please let us know what you find out.



▪ *Miri* | [August 26, 2013 at 11:19 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Truly. Please do. This would be a huge story, if true. Maybe we have a new reader, SEO. The man himself.



▪ *Papoose* | [August 26, 2013 at 6:00 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Sure is. I didn't know any infants that were employed and had SS#s back in the mid-fifties.

It was customary for people to get SS#s when they were seeking employment as the main purpose was "old age retirement and survivor insurance." What a coinkydink, for sure.

<http://www.socialsecurity.gov/oact/ProgData/describeoasi.html>

and by the way....
Area Numbers

The area numbers are assigned to geographical locations. They were originally assigned the same way that zip codes were later assigned (in particular, area numbers increase from east to west across the continental US as do the ZIP codes). Most area numbers were assigned according to state (or territorial) boundaries, although the series 700-729 was assigned to railroad workers regardless of location (this series of area numbers was discontinued in 1964 and is no longer used for new SSNs). Area numbers assigned prior to 1972 are an indication of the SSA office which originally issued the SSN. Since 1972 the area number in SSNs corresponds to the residence address given by the applicant on the application for the SSN.

In many regions the original range of area number assignments was eventually exhausted as population grew. The original area number assignments have been augmented as required. All of the original assignments were less than 585 (except for the 700-729 railroad worker series mentioned above). Area numbers of "000" have never been issued.

001-003 NH 400-407 KY 530 NV
004-007 ME 408-415 TN 531-539 WA
008-009 VT 416-424 AL 540-544 OR
010-034 MA 425-428 MS 545-573 CA
035-039 RI 429-432 AR 574 AK
040-049 CT 433-439 LA 575-576 HI
050-134 NY 440-448 OK 577-579 DC
135-158 NJ 449-467 TX 580 VI Virgin Islands
159-211 PA 468-477 MN 581-584 PR Puerto Rico
212-220 MD 478-485 IA 585 NM
221-222 DE 486-500 MO 586 PI Pacific Islands*
223-231 VA 501-502 ND 587-588 MS
232-236 WV 503-504 SD 589-595 FL
237-246 NC 505-508 NE 596-599 PR Puerto Rico
247-251 SC 509-515 KS 600-601 AZ
252-260 GA 516-517 MT 602-626 CA
261-267 FL 518-519 ID 627-645 TX
268-302 OH 520 WY 646-647 UT
303-317 IN 521-524 CO 648-649 NM
318-361 IL 525 NM *Guam, American Samoa,
362-386 MI 526-527 AZ Philippine Islands,
387-399 WI 528-529 UT Northern Mariana Islands

650-699 unassigned, for future use
700-728 Railroad workers through 1963, then discontinued
729-799 unassigned, for future use
800-999 not valid SSNs. Some sources have claimed that numbers above 900 were used when some state programs were converted to federal control, but current SSA documents claim no numbers above 799 have ever been used.

[← Older Comments](#)

[Newer Comments →](#)



1. [Renee](#) | [March 15, 2011 at 10:29 am](#) | [Reply](#)

http://chicago.blockshopper.com/property/20111070210000/4826_s_greenwood



2. [Miri](#) | [March 15, 2011 at 1:51 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Excellent research and analysis, Bridgette. Hats off to Orly, too.

Just a day or so ago, I was reading somewhere about this subject, which is suddenly in the “news” on blogs.

I read a novel (at least to me) theory put forth by obots: Barry’s FATHER who was living in Connecticut at the time applied for the SS# for him, which is why Barry got a number from Connecticut, even though he never lived there.

Putting aside the ridiculousness of this tale–

WAS BHO Sr. in Connecticut circa 1977? I don’t think so.

WHY would Barry, who’s living in Hawaii with his grandparents, have his father apply for his SS# for him?–

who would even have thought that a parent would apply for a SS# for a teenager? When I was a teen, I applied for my own SS#.

But now, thanks to Orly, we learn that in Thomas Wood’s case, his mother applied for him.

Is this revelation what caused the sudden invention of a new story about how Barry got a SS# from Connecticut?

Isn’t it curious how the application says “your address”, which would logically be the mailing address of the person for whom the SS# is being requested?

Isn’t it also curious that Thomas himself didn’t sign this application?

The form doesn’t clearly indicate that it’s okay for someone else to apply for the SS# and SIGN for that person. What other supporting documents had to be provided, I wonder?



- o [Bridgette](#) | [March 16, 2011 at 9:17 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Why weren’t those “additional documents” listed at the top of the application sent to Orly as part of the information request? Perhaps she requested one item- the application without request all documentation associated with Thomas. If they were stonewalling her for a year, one has to wonder why. I guess the person in charge had to send the request to a “higher up” for permission to release the information. Amazing, the SSA acting like the DOH in Hawaii.

Should we dissect the words in Ginger's letter to see how she left out certifying the application. She certified the "print-outs" of computer information, and the application is not a print-out.



3. *Miri* | [March 15, 2011 at 1:56 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

If we consider the stories that mutate over time, with regard to BHO Sr. and his then-wife Ruth—one says they went to Kenya from the East Coast circa 1965/6. There, Mark and David were born. Some stories SAY that Ruth returned to the US with Mark (and David?) when Mark was 7, so about 1972, depending upon when you believe Mark was born. So maybe it is possible that BHO Sr. was in Connecticut in '77, if he was visiting one of his families.

Wouldn't it be wonderful if there were actually documentable facts about this entire mishmash of supposed relatives? It becomes more and more clear why his bogus, fictional, ghostwritten "auto" biography contains deliberate errors in the timeline as well as "composite" characters.



4. *SEO* | [March 15, 2011 at 2:33 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The next deceased person (at least officially) in that sequence is "Grazia, P" with the SS# 042-68-4429. It would narrow down the date range to see when that application was filed.

I'm not one of those people who usually would suggest someone else go do research, in fact those

people annoy me but I'm (temporarily) weary of sending off for stuff from the government (either federal OR hawaii) so I likely won't do this one. Just a thought though, if someone has \$27, and a little patience, to throw at this. Normally one of these only takes about a week to get.




o *Bridgette* | [March 15, 2011 at 3:30 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

You are absolutely right about Grazia.


P. Grazia
Born: 1967 Died: 1991
State of last residence: California
State issued: Connecticut
Social Security #: 042-68-4429

GRAZIA, P
Birth Date: 25 Sep 1967
Death Date: Dec 1991
Issuing State: CT
Residence at Death: REDDING, SHASTA, CA 96049
SSN: 042-68-4429

http://www.worldvitalrecords.com/SingleIndexIndView.aspx?ix=ssdiall&hpp=1&rf=*,z*&qt=i&zdocid=4428158


- o  Miri | [March 16, 2011 at 1:58 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Oddly enough, if you search on Rootsweb for Grazia, P in the CALIFORNIA death index, s/he doesn't show up, although s/he does show up in the SS Death Index search. His/her date of last residence is given as California, but apparently that doesn't necessarily indicate that s/he died in CA.

5.  Miri | [March 15, 2011 at 2:55 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

<http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/03/social-security-dump-new-details-on.html>


There's a long conversation going on there about this subject.

6.  Miri | [March 15, 2011 at 2:56 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

And Free Republic is weighing in, too:

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2689090/posts>

For what they're worth. I can't keep up with the theories! There are ten thousand theories in the Naked Obamaverse.

7.  Miri | [March 15, 2011 at 2:59 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Commander Kerchner says,

“I have heard tell that the man who originally had the SSN that Obama is now using was issued to an elderly man who lived in CT. I have heard that in the last years of his life he moved to Hawaii where he died. Now it is also said the Grandma Dunham, Obama's maternal grandmother, worked as a part-timer or volunteer in the Probate Office in the Honolulu Hawaii Courthouse. Thus she would have access to the estate files of anyone who died there. Thus if the elderly man originally from CT died intestate in Hawaii with no known relatives, Grandma Dunham would have known this person is a prime candidate to steal the SSN of since there would be no known surviving family worrying about the death benefit from SSN and that the benefit was not likely applied for and thus SSA did not know he died. Thus the SSN remained active for the deceased person and Obama could “adopt” it as his own. This allegation has not been 100% proven that I know of. But I thought I'd pass it along for the readers here in order to get further vetting of this. But such an event would explain how the SSN from a CT person ended up in Hawaii and used by Obama.”

And also,

“Re. The man who originally had the SSN that Obama is now using was issued to an elderly man who lived in CT in the late 1970s. I have since found online that the elderly man's name from CT whose SSN Obama is using, i.e., a clear case of Identify Theft, was named Sean Paul Ludwig. Does anyone out there have the ability to see if they can confirm that a Sean Paul Ludwig lived in Hawaii at some point late in his life and/or died there?”

CDR Kerchner (Ret)”

Appears the name is actually Jean Paul Ludwig, but it also appears that his SS# is not the one Barry uses. Except and of course, they can always be a step ahead on the scrub-a-dub-dubbing and the “cauterizing” of files as fast as we uncover them. Can’t they? Why else do bots exist?



o Bridgette | [March 15, 2011 at 3:36 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The social security numbers are not even close.

Jean Ludwig **045-26-8722**
Thomas Wood **042-68-4424**

Jean Ludwig
Birth Date: 17 Feb 1890
Death Date: Jun 1981
Social Security Number: 045-26-8722
State or Territory Where Number Was Issued: Connecticut
Death Residence Localities
ZIP Code: 96816
Localities: Honolulu, Honolulu, Hawaii
Death Benefit Localities
Zip Code: 96816
Localities: Honolulu, Honolulu, Hawaii

<http://www.familysearch.org/eng/default.asp>



▪ Miri | [March 15, 2011 at 4:05 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

You’re right again, Bridgette. I wonder where they come up with these other names? They throw them out there for what reason? (Not that I doubt Cmdr. Kerchner’s intentions. He might have been fed this name. It does seem as if he’s asking for more information.)

It’s a plausible theory but poor Madelyn gets the blame for all. One wonders, if she was so complicit, why she had to be virtually locked up and gagged towards the end. Why the poor woman wasn’t even visited by her great-granddaughters prior to her death. Why they didn’t even bother to go to her funeral. Or even her “tossing to the four winds.” I would have given anything to know my own great-grandmothers. How about you? Here they are, lucky to have her, and yet she’s kept from them, apparently. They give lip service to how beloved “Toot” was, but actions speak louder than words.

I guess I have this vision of lawyers working in tandem with hotshot PI’s. You know, like Perry Mason and the intrepid Paul. (Can’t remember his surname.)



▪ newssleuth | [March 15, 2011 at 5:11 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Paul Drake, ace detective!



- *Miri* | [March 15, 2011 at 5:26 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

That's it! I forgot. Of course, I was just a baby when that show was on.



- *Bridgette* | [March 16, 2011 at 10:15 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I watched reruns!



- *Bridgette* | [March 15, 2011 at 7:13 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

There are 29 people named Jean Ludwig listed in the Social Security Death Index. There is only one born in 1890, and only one who was issued a number in Connecticut, and only one who lived in HI. That person is the one listed above. There is no second entry for this Jean in the index.

http://www.familysearch.org/eng/search/frameset_search.asp?PAGE=/eng/search/ancestorssearchresults.asp



- *Bridgette* | [March 15, 2011 at 8:42 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

This answers the question of where he was born and where his family came from..France. Original document.

United States, WWII Draft Registration cards, 1942

Name: **John Paul Ludwig**

Gender: Male

Serial number 119 John Paul Ludwig

Address: High Ridge, Rd., New Canaan, Fairfield, Connecticut

PO Box 458 New Canaan Conn

Telephone New Canaan 9-1628

Age: 52

Place of Birth: Ammersville, France

Date of Birth: Feb. 17, 1890

Name of person who will always know your address:

Florence Ludwig address same

Employer's name: S. A. Reed, New Canaan Conn.

Film Number: 2283648

Digital Folder Number: 4135845

Image Number: 05496

https://www.familysearch.org/search/image/show#uri=https%3A%2F%2Fapi.familysearch.org%2Frecords%2Fpal%3A%2FMM9.1.i%2Fdgs%3A004135845.004135845_05496



▪ [Miri](#) | [March 16, 2011 at 1:41 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Okay. So it appears that Rootsweb is the source for the supposed death in CA:
<http://vitals.rootsweb.ancestry.com/ca/death/search.cgi>

Enter Jean Paul Ludwig in the search argument and you get this:

Last Name LUDWIG

First Name JEAN

Middle PAUL

Birth Date 02/17/1890

Mother Maiden SALOME

Father Last

Sex M

Birth Place REST (OTHER)

Death Place SAN LUIS OBISPO

Residence

Death Date 06/19/1981

SSN 045-26-8722

Age 91

There's no code to state the source, whether a family member or VERIFIED by a death record. However, on that screen, if you click on the SS#, it goes to the death index record that Bridgette found, which says his last benefit was sent to Honolulu, which was his last address of record. See this link:

<http://ssdi.rootsweb.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/ssdi.cgi?ssn1=045&ssn2=26&ssn3=8722>

So now I'm rethinking what I think I see in SS Death Index records. Could it be that all along I (and others) have assumed the death took place in a specific place, but that's really only the address where the person's benefits were sent?

So he perhaps lived in Hawaii and COLLECTED BENEFITS there, and perhaps died in California. Whatever the case, we know he was collecting SS benefits and that he is dead and reported as such to SS and that his SS# was NOT the number Barry uses.



8. [tdr](#) | [March 15, 2011 at 6:02 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

does anyone really believe that a man born in 1890 would get a social security card in 1977? he would already be 87.....

his name does not appear to be Jean Ludwig unless he also had multiple SSNs...hmmm.

From ORYR:

<http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/03/social-security-dump-new-details-on.html>

We get more info on Jean Paul Ludwig, who was born in 1890, had CT SSN obtained in 1976 and died in HI. There are **2 SS numbers** for him and records show him **dying in 2 different states: CA and HI around 1981.**

The reason this is important, is because there is a similar fact pattern to Obama. Barack Obama is residing today in the White House, using CT SS number 042-68-4425, issued in CT in and around March 1977 to an elderly individual, who was born in 1890, who is presumed dead and *whose death was either never reported to the SS administration or reported and deleted from the database by someone.* We saw **Obama use SS record of the deceased mother of Harry C. Ballantyne, actuary of the SS, who had access to all the records.**

I have questions re *Ludwig: was he French or was he German who lived in France? Where did Ballantyne's family come from? Was ever any connection between Ballantyne, William Ayers, Bernadette Dohrn, Stanley Dunham (Obama's grandfather), Ludwigs??* You get the drift.

Orly



9. [tdr | March 15, 2011 at 6:38 pm | Reply](#)

For anyone wRod Private Calls on U.S. Timelines of History . . .

This may give you some insight on how and why things got so crazy...ALL 3 U.S. History Timelines by Rod...These are non-regular call recordings ! Go to the Talkshoe site
<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=48361&cmd=tc>

For all the call audio archives...they're in the Past Episodes section on the landing page – just scroll down and click !

Rod Class AIB Talkshoe Call Recording with Timeline #1 –
Sat, Jan, 15, 2011

A Time Line Of U.S. History (and others) And How The Usurpation Happened – Part 1
[audio src="http://recordings.talkshoe.com/TC-48361/TS-439936.mp3" /]
1 Hour 38 Minutes

Rod Class and Jeanette AIB Talkshoe Call Recording with Timeline #2 – Wed, Jan 26, 2011

A Time Line Of U.S. History (and others) And How The Usurpation Happened – Part 2
<http://recordings.talkshoe.com/TC-48361/TS-444324.mp3> 2 Hours 31 Minutes

And, in case you missed it...here's Timeline #3...

Rod class AIB Talkshoe Call Recording with Timeline #3 –
Thurs, Jan 27, 2011

A Time Line Of U.S. History (and others) And How The Usurpation Happened – Part 3
[audio src="http://recordings.talkshoe.com/TC-48361/TS-444599.mp3" /]
41 Minutes

Who has the time,these are always interesting calls to listen to, long but interesting.



10. [tdr | March 15, 2011 at 8:00 pm | Reply](#)

you dont have to post my last comment..it was for your info and off topic but good info if you have time to listen.



11. [Miri](#) | [March 15, 2011 at 8:38 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Since Jean Ludwig did die and since his death is recorded in the SS death index, and since the SS# recorded there is NOT the # Barry supposedly uses, why is Jean Ludwig important?

This man came from France and worked for a senator from PA, or so the ORYR's site says. So why did Jean get a SS# in CT, when he lived in HI before he died but he worked for a senator from PA? Presumably, when he worked for the senator, he already had a SS#. So did he get another when he was 87?

The same person who reports all this claims that the death wasn't reported to SS. But if that's true, there would be no records in the SS death index to find.

The other "two" records for his supposed death doubtless come from [Ancestry.com](#), which often contains misinformation based upon user submitted data and/or mistakes in transcriptions. The only way to know for sure is to obtain the image of the actual documents upon which they based these death records (in CA and HI).

It's still a question, at this point, whether there is one man, Jean, or two men, Jean and Sean. Jean sounds like someone from France. Sean doesn't. That would be an unusual name for a man born in 1890, unless he's from Ireland.

In any case, neither SS# supplied on that website is the one Barry supposedly uses.

So who IS the person who was born in 1890 and who had that SS# before Barry?

Or is the only thing we're going on is that somebody, somewhere, for some reason, with no evidence, claimed that the number used to belong to a man born in 1890? Can somebody fill us in on some FACTS?



12. [ladysforest](#) | [March 15, 2011 at 10:33 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

OK. My great grandmother applied for my SS number – on my behalf – when I was a youngin. She lived in FL, I lived in VA – she signed for the thing, my mother never had anything to do with it at all. (she was a faded hippy-type) I believe I was between 8 – 10 years old when "Nanny" sent my SS card to me. She felt that I should have the thing. I have never thought much about it until lately with all of the obama crap. My Nanny was quite a force in her local politics and church community. I can tell you that there is not a thing in this world that ever existed that could have stopped Nanny from doing what she felt was right and correct to do.

So, as I was born in (egad!) 1962, I know for a fact that a family member could absolutely apply on your behalf. Even if they lived in different state.

That being said, I don't believe a random nobody that happened to live in a far distant state could have randomly applied for a minor obama.



- o [Miri](#) | [March 16, 2011 at 11:14 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Thanks for that information. What sort of evidence did your nanny have to send to prove you even existed? It's disturbing to think how easy it might have been to obtain SS#'s for other people.



- [ladysforest](#) | [March 16, 2011 at 1:48 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I don't know. It is possible that she had a copy of my BC I suppose. I remember that she applied for myself and my brother, but I never discussed how or why. The "why" may have been (in part) that she had a CD for each of us, in her name and ours. The bank may have required at some point that our SS# be added to the info on file in the event we were to cash the things in the future. I always felt that she just wanted us to be squared away with such things, and knew that my mother was too much of a "black sheep" to do something like applying for our SS#'s.

I applied for all three of my children when they were infants.



- [Miri](#) | [March 16, 2011 at 2:39 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I know that it became routine for parents to get SS#s for their kids, but I don't know when that began. I applied for my own SS# when I wanted to work part time as a teenager. I don't remember what I had to send as proof of identity, citizenship, etc. I do remember that circa 1980, you had to put some SS# on a savings bond, if it was in a kid's name. However, we used to put the SS# of one of the parents. It was only to ensure that somebody paid the taxes on the interest, when the bonds were cashed. It was a right of passage in my day to get your SS#. But usually the reason was to get an afterschool job. It was actually rare for kids to work during high school, when I was a teen. I was the only one in my class. But then, again, I may have been the only one who had to work to pay my school tuition. We weren't rolling in dough and my mom didn't want us in public school. Home schooling? Nobody thought about it in those days. Not that I know of.



- [LibraryGryffon](#) | [March 18, 2011 at 1:56 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

You now have to have a social security number for your child to claim them as a dependant on your tax return if they over 2 months old. I believe that the hospital actually sent in the application for us with our second child, born in 1998 in CT. I didn't get mine till I was about 10, so I have a Michigan number even though I was born in Texas. At some point the IRS started demanding the number to claim children over 1yo on your taxes, and then in the late 80s or early 90s they lowered the age to 2 months.



13. [Kathy](#) | [March 16, 2011 at 2:41 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Can anyone provide a link to the person/people who actually said that Sean Paul Ludwig or John Paul Ludwig or Jean Paul Ludwig had the SS# that Obama is using? They need to provide proof of their research. Unless they do, this just seems to be speculation to me or flat out incorrect. I'm giving them credit, because as we all know Obummers scrubbers could have made things change since they did their original research. They need to publish research ASAP!



- *Bridgette* | [March 16, 2011 at 9:43 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Flat out incorrect would be right.



- *Miri* | [March 16, 2011 at 11:20 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Kathy, it's in the comments of this site:

<http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/03/social-security-dump-new-details-on.html>

Taken from Orly's site, apparently. I don't go there because it crashes my browser (Orly's, I mean).

They give some sources, but it's all circumstantial and the bottom line is that the SS#'s given for these people AREN'T the number Barry's uses, so what's their point?



14. *Bridgette* | [March 16, 2011 at 9:42 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Someone has gone off on a tangent and others picked it up. I think that someone who didn't know how to trace people found this Jean Ludwig born in 1890 in Cook County Illinois and went from there. That would be why Orly would want to know if they knew the Ayers. The original birth certificate of this 2nd person is available to view. I did. I transcribed it below. I don't rely on others because there are so many errors made. I couldn't read some of the letters, and the name of the father is Auer (Taken as Ayer?). The transcriber said Jean was a female and white, but the sex and race is not on the handwritten form so I don't know where they got that information.

Illinois, Cook County Birth Certificates, 1878-1922 for Jean Ludwig

Name: Jean Ludwig

Number of child of this mother: 5th

Date of this birth: 06 May 1890

Birthplace: 332 [Cook, Illinois]

Gender: Sex not on form Female was on transcription

Race: Not on form White on transcription

Father's Name: E.I.T. Auer or Ut Auer

Father's Birthplace: Germany Fengenstadt

Father's Age: 36y

Mother's Name: ...eih Gerloff Auer or YIa Gerloff Yeta?

Mother's Birthplace: Germany Braunschweig

Mother's Age: 24y

Occupation of Father Sleter

Dated 31 May 1890 Signed 113 Brown Street Magdeline Struss

<https://www.familysearch.org/search/recordDetails/show?uri=https://api.familysearch.org/records/pal:/MM9.1.r/MZCP-6ZW/p1>



o Renee | [March 16, 2011 at 9:54 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I do not know though Bridgetteb, I am finding ties... I think maybe all these numbers may have ties and have been used. I think this is a mix of inside info coupled with some documentation. I am digging on it all now and noting the connections or possibles I have found before. There are too many possibles for me to ignore. We will see. I am looking into it all.



▪ Miri | [March 16, 2011 at 10:24 am](#) | [Reply](#)

If there ARE ties, then we need to demonstrate them. To put it all together and wrap it up with a bow. These suppositions in the comments on other blogs (which Kerchner admits are unconfirmed, which is why he asked for help), are only that–suppositions. And they may be put out there by obots seeking to distract or send everybody off on a wild goose chase.

The point is that this person was FEMALE. So it can't be the "Jean Ludwig" who DOESN'T have Barry's SS#. It can't be the MAN who died in HI. Her ancestor was AUER. There are hundreds of thousands of Auers in the world, and most probably in Chicago, too. The person who worked for a PA senator and who died in HI, was a MAN, supposedly from FRANCE (not Chicago), and has been called Sean as well as Jean. There are probably hundreds of men who were born in 1890, who lived in CT and maybe even some who died in HI. There's no sourcing on those claims. It's been debunked, as far as I'm concerned, unless and until they provide EVIDENCE, which means SOURCES (and not just index records from online databases that are subject to multiple errors as well as user-provided data that's about as accurate as Wikipedia was before they at least made an attempt at ensuring accuracy).



▪ Miri | [March 16, 2011 at 11:31 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Must correct myself: Bridgette says the transcription says female but the record itself doesn't. That's interesting. What's also interesting is that the father's name is Auer and the mother's maiden name is Gerloff, yet this child is named Jean LUDWIG. Why not Auer?



▪ Bridgette | [March 16, 2011 at 2:33 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

When I read it I thought that Auer was one of the first names not the last name. Did you look at the document? The first letters of the parents names appear to be in old German lettering and I can't remember what they are. I haven't read them in a long time. Will check it out again.

The E.I.T. Auer... the reason I thought that was because many Germans have 3 or 4 first names and go by one of them. Yet in records, you can find them by one of the four names. So that is what I was thinking when I read it again.

Jean Ludwig could be the name given as a first and middle name and then they didn't use the last name on the document.



- *Miri* | [March 16, 2011 at 2:47 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I found a record for their marriage in the IL state archives. It says the man was Edward Auer and her name was Ida Gealoff (could be a transcription error, so might be Gerloff). Married in 1884. Enter Auer for the groom: <http://www.ilsos.gov/GenealogyMWeb/marrsrch.html>



15. *swan* | [March 16, 2011 at 9:56 am](#) | [Reply](#)

There was an "Roman" Obama that went to Patrice Lumumba University in Moscow. Story in the Watertown Daily News 1992 Feb.14 page 8. "Obama 31 year old law student from Equatorial Guinea." notes- not from but going thru. WAS this where Obama was instead of being Occidental /Colombia? Studying Sovietology?



- *Bridgette* | [March 16, 2011 at 10:42 am](#) | [Reply](#)

O/T But that was always an interesting story. I originally found that piece about Roman and kept it underwraps looking for more information. When it surfaced later on, I think at Free Rep., a photo had been added to it that was not part of the original article. I know that because I was able to lift the photo from the article. The photo of course didn't resemble Obama. But I have never forgotten it. I remember that Roman was the same age and a lawyer. On another thread, I know I found that he was resurrected and had a website in his name..all photos of him, and fake friend's photos. You could see it wasn't a normal Facebook website..but a pretend one. Then they gave him a new last name also, and he was working for the African? government in some capacity. The name became Roman Obama Ekua,

<http://www.ghanadiplomaticguide.com/equitorialguineaconsult.php>

Will look, but I think I put the photo of the guy here somewhere on our blog. To me, the original photo of the guy supposed to be Roman was nothing like the guy that now works for the govt. The newspaper guy looked like a neanderthal man, and the new guy was quite handsome.

<http://news.google.com/newspapers?id=4mwQAAAAIABAJ&sjid=5osDAAAAIABAJ&pg=6655,2037468&dq=roman-obama>

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/bloggers/2344042/posts?page=158#158>

The fake website for Roman Ekua

<http://www.sonico.com/u/46279323/Roman-Obama-Ekua>



16. *Miri* | [March 16, 2011 at 11:56 am](#) | [Reply](#)

This is a comment on FR by Brown Deer, one of the resident “genealogists”:

Jean Paul Ludwig was born on Feb 16, 1890 in Ammersville, France. He emigrated to New York in Sept 1920 and became a butler/valet for Senator David Aiken Reed of Pittsburgh, who also sponsored his naturalization. Throughout the following years, they made numerous trips together all over the world. Ludwig died in San Luis Obispo on June 19, 1981.

Since Major Reed served overseas in World War I with the 311th Field Artillery participating in the Meuse-Argonne and Verdun offensives, he probably first met Ludwig in France before 1920. Reed first began his travels to Honolulu and Hong Kong before WW1, with a U.S. passport obtained in 1914.



o *Miri* | [March 16, 2011 at 12:30 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

This would SEEM to match the person that Bridgette recorded above from the SS Death Index and the War Records database, with two differences: He died in Honolulu, according to the SS death index, and his dob was Feb. 17, according to the same index. So where does the San Luis Obispo information come from?



17. *Miri* | [March 16, 2011 at 11:59 am](#) | [Reply](#)

This appears to be the source for the 1890 claim; you’ll have to search for 1890:

<http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/list-of-properties-and-social-security-numbers-associate-with-barack-obama-and-family/>

Name – OBAMA, BARACK HUSSEIN
 Gender – Male
 Street Address – 365 BROADWAY APT B1
 City, State, Zip – SOMERVILLE MA 02145-2440
 Probable Current Address – No
 Telephone –
 Telephone Accountholder –
 Social Security – 042-68-xxxx
 Age – 119
 Date of Birth – 1890
 Deceased – No
 Date Record Verified –



o *Bridgette* | [March 16, 2011 at 2:40 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Good find on this one Miri. This is the list that I looked for that shows all of his social security numbers.

Now I remember.. Yes, Neil Sankey, the former Scotland Yard investigator!

“The person who generated the list of names, addresses, and SSNs is Mr. Neil Sankey, a former British policeman who’s now a licensed private investigator in Los Angeles.”



- Renee | [March 16, 2011 at 2:44 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I remember that now...



- Renee | [March 16, 2011 at 2:49 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Bridgette, Is this going to go to the post I have on Roman Holiday and future (past and present) wiki leaks dump ? The old story of the pending arrests and INTERPOL agents that came here 2 years ago they say ?

Just one, big, happy, cloud of theft ?

Really, what a huge mess...



- SEO | [March 16, 2011 at 3:25 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Does anyone remember ever seeing the full numbers on any of the other SS# in that list? the 364-03-XXXX is a Michigan number, and according to the SS death index there are three people who died in Hawaii with those first 5 digits...all between 1994 and 1996. Now that may mean nothing, but that is around the time his book came out, he was living in Chicago, maybe a good time for a fresh SS#?



18. Bridgette | [March 16, 2011 at 12:23 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Via butterdezillion at Free Republic;

Actually, there’s a LOT of doubt that he’s a US citizen, unless he naturalized at some point. He doesn’t have a legally-valid Hawaii BC, and he’s been using SOME legally-valid BC his whole life long.

His need to manufacture a US social security number when he transferred from Occidental to Columbia totally fits the other evidence we have.

IIRC, it was in the summer right before he transferred to Columbia that Race Bannon spoke to Obama – who said he was born in Mombassa, grew up in Indonesia, and was going to be President someday. That also seems to be when his Muslim friend from Occidental had connections and they visited Pakistan. I wonder when the Saudi prince began to finance Obama’s education, as per Percy Sutton.

If it’s documented that the particular SSN Obama uses actually belongs to somebody else, then Obama should be in deep, deep doo-doo, if America was anything but a third-world dictatorship. Unfortunately, it’s not at this point.

I would presume that the SSN verification showed the number hadn't been issued because the search was for that number UNDER OBAMA'S NAME, and no name/number combination like that was ever issued.

Wow. Looks like we were right all along. He most probably switched from the foreign identity that he had at Occidental to the supposedly-US identity he's had at Columbia and ever since through the help of Grandma Dunham. A social security number can be used to get genuine documents and from then on the paper trail would seem legitimate.

That would explain why his college records are sealed and why they had to sanitize his passport records and hide his mother's passport records for the time surrounding his birth and childhood. It's all fitting into place.

52 posted on Tuesday, March 15, 2011 2:14:08 PM by butterdezillion

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2689090/posts?page=52#52>



19. *SEO* | [March 16, 2011 at 2:22 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Isn't Race Bannon the guy from Jonny Quest?
That's it! BHO is Hadji! Adopted son of Dr. Benton Quest!

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jonny_Quest



o *Miri* | [March 16, 2011 at 2:28 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Who's the funny man?



20. *itooktheredpill* | [March 16, 2011 at 2:29 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Off-topic, but I never get tired of these types of stories...

<http://www.ktvb.com/home/Military-dad-surprises-daughter-after-yearlong-deployment-117933454.html>



o *Bridgette* | [March 17, 2011 at 3:26 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Brought tears to my eyes. Always a good story.



21. *Greg* | [March 16, 2011 at 9:40 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

A great read! Thanks! I'll be posting reposting this.
Keep the info coming!



- o Bridgette | [March 16, 2011 at 10:12 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Missed seeing you Greg!



- o Renee | [March 16, 2011 at 10:20 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Hi Greg !



22. Bridgette | [March 17, 2011 at 2:38 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Another look at Obama's Social Security number

March 17, 2011 Snips

This being the case, I asked Daniels to guide me through the data mine field and help me ascertain what we know for sure about the world's best-known Social Security number – 042-68-4425.

“All I can say,” says Daniels of 042-68-4425, “is that it’s phony and [Obama] has been using it, with it first appearing on his Selective Service document in 1980.”

Daniels sent me a copy of the hand-written application of the individual who held the number immediately before Obama's, 042-68-4424. The applicant, Thomas Wood, died at age 19, which is why his information is available.

Wood's Social Security number was issued sometime between March and May of 1977. Obama would turn 16 in August of that year. Woods lived on Glenview Drive in Newington, Conn., the state from which all “042s” applied. Obama lived in Hawaii.

snip

Daniels also sent me a **copy of Obama's Selective Service data. Obama appears to have registered on Sept. 4, 1980, a month after his 19th birthday. The form lists the telling last four digits of his “042” number, “4425.”**

This is the first use of the “042” number that Daniels could find. She is just not sure it is legitimate. Some have credibly argued that the Selective Service information was forged and backdated once Obama became a presidential candidate.

“They were stupid to use the CT number on the [Selective Service] card,” Daniels adds, “because now there is no way for [Obama] to back out of that number.”

Other than the 1980 Selective Service registration, the first time Daniels could find **Obama using the “042” number was in 1986 in Chicago.**

As to how Obama may have secured that number, it is possible that *he turned to his radical friends for friends for advice.*

*“We invented all kinds of ways to obtain false identity papers, and got busy building multiple sets of ID for each of us and for every contingency,” writes **Bill Ayers** of his years in the Weather Underground. “We soon figured out that the deepest and most foolproof ID had a government-issued Social Security card at its heart.”*

Daniels and Sankey have tracked Obama’s many uses of the “042” number in Chicago, in Massachusetts and in Washington. Others numbers appear with the “Obama” name, but Daniels cautions against attributing these numbers – with the exception of those from Obama’s college days at Occidental – to the president.

From Daniels’ perspective, one felonious use of a fraudulent number is enough. She believes this to be a more tangible scandal than the birth certificate and wonders when someone beyond WND will start paying attention.

<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=275861#ixzz1GqNBhxej>



o Bridgette | [March 17, 2011 at 2:53 am](#) | [Reply](#)

EXCLUSIVE: Did Next Commander-in-Chief Falsify Selective Service Registration? Never Actually Register? Obama’s Draft Registration Raises Serious Questions

November 13, 2008, – 1:56 am

By Debbie Schlussel Copyright 2008 Snip

Did President-elect Barack Hussein Obama commit a federal crime in September of this year? Or did he never actually register and, instead, did friends of his in the Chicago federal records center, which maintains the official copy of his alleged Selective Service registration **commit the crime** for him?

It’s either one or the other, as indicated by the release of Barack Obama’s official Selective Service registration for the draft. A friend of mine, who is a retired federal agent, **spent almost a year trying to obtain this document through a Freedom of Information Act request**, and, after much *stonewalling*, finally received it and released it to me.

But the release of Obama’s draft registration and an accompanying document, posted below, raises more questions than it answers. And it shows many signs of fraud, not to mention putting the lie to Obama’s claim that he registered for the draft in June 1979, before it was required by law.

cont.

<http://www.debbieschlussel.com/4428/exclusive-did-next-commander-in-chief-falsify-selective-service-registration-never-actually-register-obamas-draft-registration-raises-serious-questions/>



23. Bridgette | [March 17, 2011 at 2:58 am](#) | [Reply](#)

These are from Debbie Schlusel's URL above. The explanation of these are in her article. These are where the use of the SS Number was first revealed. I don't see the SS #, but it now might be blacked out. Quickly visible is the question of how Obama signed it on the 30th and it was stamped the day before. (2nd photo).

RIMS HISTORY INQUIRY SCREEN PAGE 001 OF 002
DATE: 09/09/08

SSS NO: 61 1125539 1 TRANS-CODE/TYPE: 110 / **H**

LAST NAME: OBAMA

FIRST NAME: BARACK HUSSEIN

CURRENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008

CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU HI 96826

PERMANENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008

CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU HI 96826

DATE OF BIRTH: 08/04/61

SSAN: **DebbieSchlusel.com**

TELEPHONE: 808 000 0000

DLN: 8089 708 0632 REASON:

TRANSACTION DATE: **A** 09/04/80 ID INDICATOR: 2

LAST ACTION DATE: **G** 09/04/80

RELATED SSN: 00 0000000 0

PRESS: CLEAR ==> END PF7 ==> PAGE BACKWARD PF8 ==> PAGE FORWARD

A 0697050632

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM
Registration Form
READ PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT ON REVERSE
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

1 DATE OF BIRTH 08/04/61 2 SEX MALE FEMALE

4 PRINT FULL NAME
Last: OBAMA First: BARACK Middle: HUSSEIN

5 CURRENT MAILING ADDRESS
Number and Street: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008 City: HONOLULU State or Foreign Country: HI Zip Code: 96826


6 PERMANENT RESIDENCE
Number and Street: SAME AS ABOVE City: State or Foreign Country: Zip Code: **DebbieSchlusel.com**

7 CURRENT PHONE NUMBER
Area Code: 808 Number: 949 2317

8 Check here if we may give your name, address and telephone number to Armed Forces recruiters.

9 AFFIRM THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS ARE TRUE
Today's Date: **D** JULY 30, 2008 Signature of Registrant: Barack H. Obama

SSS Form 1 (Feb 00) **B** Postal Date Stamp & Clerk Initials: **F** **E** **C**

 [Miri | March 17, 2011 at 10:03 am | Reply](#)

These images clearly demonstrate why the "exclusive commentary" at WND (which Bridgette found today) does NOT include images of the copies of these documents that were sent to Cashill by Susan Daniels. Can you guess why images of the copies Daniels sent weren't included in Cashill's commentary, but backhanded insults to junior investigators without decoder rings were included?



- [Miri](#) | [March 17, 2011 at 10:12 am](#) | [Reply](#)

The quote from Daniels in Cashill's "exclusive commentary", to which I refer:

"As much as Daniels *appreciates the work of WND and other **serious** investigators* who have helped clarify the picture, she is distressed by the few who have clouded it.

'There have been many **playing junior investigator from the start,**' she jokes. **'I don't know if they even have their decoder rings yet.'**"

I have my decoder ring handy. Do you? I can decode the messages in this exclusive commentary.



24. [Miri](#) | [March 17, 2011 at 10:09 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Kudos to Stephen Coffman, retired FEDERAL AGENT, who's the junior investigator without a decoder ring who requested these documents, and to Debbie Schluskel who FIRST and EXCLUSIVELY published them on her blog in 2008.



- [Renee](#) | [March 17, 2011 at 10:21 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Planet Krypton, Superman oops, Superman. Keep it hush hush but we can make decoder rings with magic stones from Krypton ok ?
Like it ?



25. [itooktheredpill](#) | [March 17, 2011 at 12:02 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Guess who's speaking in Duke Chapel right now?

The Ground Zero Mosque Imam!

{Remainder of [this comment moved to the open thread.](#)}



- [itooktheredpill](#) | [March 17, 2011 at 2:45 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

{ [Comment moved to the open thread.](#)}



- [itooktheredpill](#) | [March 17, 2011 at 2:51 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

{ [Comment moved to the open thread.](#) }



26. [Bridgette](#) | [March 18, 2011 at 3:31 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Here's a link to the info about Surick's decision in Berg v Obama being faxed to him from somebody else:

<http://james4america.wordpress.com/2008/10/25/judge-surrick-received-the-decision-he-issued/>

Here's a link to Haggmann's initial story about the media being threatened if they reported on the eligibility issue:

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/13373>

by butterdezillion



o [Kathy](#) | [March 18, 2011 at 4:13 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Good job with the links Bridgett. Do you remember, did the financial crisis happen before or after this decision? It seems like I remember Congress having a closed door session and one of the members stating that it was the worst thing possible. Do you remember anything like that?



▪ [Bridgette](#) | [March 18, 2011 at 5:16 am](#) | [Reply](#)


If I recall it was mid September 2008 when the financial collapse occurred. That is when Paulson told Bush that our economy was at a perilous point and TARP was necessary. Remember McCain was ridiculed for leaving the campaign and returning to Congress for the vote.

I'd have to look it up but there was one particular day when it became a global crisis.. and has been suggested that it was a manufactured crisis that occurred on Wall Street causing the major drop in the stock market. (Soros?) There were multiple financial collapses happening around the same time (Lehman Brothers, Fannie and Freddie) so it seems September was the worst month.



▪ [Miri](#) | [March 18, 2011 at 11:27 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I moved my long comment to the latest open thread.



27. [Bridgette](#) | [March 18, 2011 at 3:36 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Obama's 16 Different Social Security Numbers

The Obama File

Feb 1, 2010

Private investigator Neil Sankey, using Intelius, Lexis Nexis, Choice Point and other public records, found around **25 Social Security numbers connected with Barack Obama's name.**

However, it may not be as many as 25, since Sankey also searched using closely related names such as: "Barak Obama," "Batock Obama," "Barok Obama," and "Barrack Obama." There may very well be some Kenyans living in America with the same last name and a similar first name. In any case, I will exclude these records for the purpose of this research and focus only on names spelled exactly like his name.

Moreover, **we can verify many of the Social Security numbers as valid since they're connected to addresses at which we know Obama resided.** Needless to say, there are also a slew of address and social security numbers connected to addresses in states that Obama has no known connection to.

In Obama's home state, Illinois, Sankey tracked down 16 different addresses for a Barack Obama or a Barack H. Obama, of which all are addresses he was known to have lived at. Two Social Security numbers appear for these addresses, one beginning with 042, and one starting 364.

In California, where Obama attended Occidental College, there are six addresses listed for him, all within easy driving distance of the college. However, there are three Social Security numbers connected to these addresses, 537 and two others, each beginning with 999, which are not valid SSNs.

There are no addresses listed in New York where he attended Columbia University, but there is one listed for him in nearby Jackson, NJ, with a Social Security number beginning with 485.

In Massachusetts — where Obama attended Harvard Law School — we find three addresses, all using the 042 Social Security number. After Obama was elected to the United States Senate in 2005, he moved into an apartment at 300 Massachusetts Ave NW; the Social Security number attached to that address is the 042 one. Yet, three years later, Obama used a different Social Security number for an address listed as: 713 Hart Senate Office Building. This was the address of his United States Senate office. **This Social Security number began with 282 and was verified by the government in 2008.**

This mystery grows even stranger as other addresses and Social Security numbers for Barack Obama appear in a dozen other states not known to be connected to him. Again, I am excluding those records names not spelled exactly like his name.

Tennessee, one address with a Social Security number beginning with 427

Colorado, one address, with a Social Security number beginning with 456.

Utah, two addresses, with two Social Security numbers beginning with 901 and 799.

Missouri has one address and one Social Security number beginning with 999.

Florida has two addresses listed for his him, three if you count one listed as "Barry Obama." One is connected to a Social Security number beginning with 762.

In Georgia there are three addresses listed for him, all with different Social Security numbers: 579, 420, and 423.

In Texas there are four different addresses listed for him, one is connected to Social Security number 675.

There are two addresses listed for Barack Obama in **Oregon** and **one address listed for him in the states of Wisconsin, Michigan, South Carolina, and Pennsylvania.**

All told, **there are 49 addresses and 16 different Social Security numbers listed for a person whose name is spelled “Barack Obama.”** In some cases, the middle initial “H” is listed. If you were to expand the search to include closely related names such as: “Barac,” “Barak,” and “Barrack” Obama, you would find more than a dozen additional addresses and Social Security numbers.

Finally, the one Social Security number Obama most frequently used, the one beginning with 042, is a number issued in Connecticut sometime during 1976-1977, yet there is no record of Obama ever living or working in Connecticut. Indeed, during this time period Obama would have been 15-16 years old and living in Hawaii at the time.

Extracted from an article by Steve Baldwin. Read the whole thing here

Susan Daniels, a second investigator filed an affidavit, with true and correct copies here, in the **Barnett v. Obama case.** Susan Daniels is a private investigator, licensed by the State of Ohio.

In her affidavit, Daniels states that she has located Barack Obama’s Social Security Number. She states it was issued between 1977 and 1979 in the State of Connecticut. **She states that it is the only Social Security Number Barack Obama ever used.**

The number assigned to Barack Obama “appears to be associated with someone born in the year 1890.”

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2689090/posts?page=52#52>



o *Miri* | [March 18, 2011 at 11:04 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Here’s the source for that comment at FR: <http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/>

I’m glad Baldwin excluded the various other spellings but still came up with curious discrepancies. I have some addresses in the O Timeline for where Barry lived in NYC. I believe these addresses came from news articles, based upon interviews with his friends, but I could be misremembering. One would think that Sankey and Daniels would have found those addresses, too.

So now we know that one source of their information is Intelius. Unfortunately, those databases have errors in them; but it’s highly unlikely that ALL of Barry’s numerous “errors” ARE errors and not deliberate fraud.

So Daniels stated (is this true?) in her affidavit that the CT number is “the only Social Security Number Barack Obama ever used.” Huh? Didn’t she say otherwise in that WND article yesterday?



28. Bridgette | [March 18, 2011 at 3:55 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Are Social Security numbers reused after a person dies?

No. We do not reassign a Social Security number (SSN) after the number holder’s death. Even though we have issued over 453 million SSNs so far, and we assign about 5 and one-half million new numbers a year, the current numbering system will provide us with enough new numbers for several generations into the future with no changes in the numbering system.”

When did Social Security start?

The Social Security Act was signed by FDR on 8/14/35. Taxes were collected for the first time in January 1937 and the first one-time, lump-sum payments were made that same month. Regular ongoing monthly benefits started in January 1940.

Is there any significance to the numbers assigned in the Social Security Number?

Yes. The first three digits are assigned by the geographical region in which the person was residing at the time he/she obtained a number. Generally, numbers were assigned beginning in the northeast and moving westward. So people on the east coast have the lowest numbers and those on the west coast have the highest numbers. The remaining six digits in the number are more or less randomly assigned and were organized to facilitate the early manual bookkeeping operations associated with the creation of Social Security in the 1930s.

<http://www.ssa.gov/history/hfaq.html>



29. Miri | [March 18, 2011 at 2:55 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

<https://www.sss.gov/RegVer/wfError.aspx?Message=BADATTEMPT&src=v>

That’s the search that was used when Coffman and Schlusel first revealed Barry’s Selective Service record. NOW, as then, when you try to verify Barry’s information, you get this message, “Error.

Sorry, your request cannot be processed at this time because you have exceeded the daily limit for the verification of these credentials.
(3/18/2011 3:42:13 PM)”

The date/time stamp is interesting. One would almost think that they’re recording who is asking for this information and when. WHY? FYI, I didn’t ask for ANY verification of ANY other credentials today or probably for the past year. So how could I possibly EXCEED the “daily limit”. What, btw, is the daily limit?

Oh, yes, indeed. Our wonderful government does indeed track us:
“Security Statement

If you browse through this Web site, read pages, or download information, we will gather and store certain information about your visit automatically. This information does not identify you personally and is only used to improve future visits to the site. The information we automatically collect and store is as follows:

The Internet domain (for example, “xcompany.com” if you use a private Internet access account or “yourschool.edu” if you connect from a university’s domain);

Your IP address (an IP address is a number that is automatically assigned to your computer whenever you are surfing the Web) from which you access our Web site;

The type of browser and operating system used to access our site;

The date and time you access our site;

The pages you visit; and

If you linked to our Web site from another Web site, the address of that Web site.

We use this information to help us make our site more useful to visitors, to learn about the number of visitors to our site, and the types of technology our visitors use. We do not track or record information about individuals and their visits.”

It doesn’t track individuals but it does store your IP# and the date and time and the pages you visited. How about the search arguments you entered?



o *Bridgette* | [March 18, 2011 at 3:10 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Why do they want to know who is accessing the information? If they get a million hits in one day, what will they do with those numbers ? What a waste of database space. Who is monitoring that database?



▪ *Miri* | [March 18, 2011 at 4:49 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Who knows? Somebody needs to stay up until midnight DC time (is that where their office/computer is?) and do a search on Barry immediately when the new day rolls around. Ya think they’ll let ya through?



30. *Miri* | [March 18, 2011 at 2:59 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Oh, how clever they are. I don’t have a daily limit. Barry’s record has one. So if three other people have already accessed Barry’s record today, then I can’t see it. So we are just supposed to take their word for it that somewhere in this world there are three people who TODAY were allowed to see Barry’s selective service record. I entered the 042 SS#, Obama, and his supposed dob.

WND had a story about this:

<http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=156573>



o *Bridgette* | [March 18, 2011 at 3:11 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

At least WND got through and has a screen shot of what people can't see.



- *Miri* | [March 18, 2011 at 4:29 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Yeah. They have a screen shot but looks like the SS# is redacted. What's interesting is that the Sel. Serv. guy hems and haws when pinned down. Or he admits that the computer people do. First he said it's three previous tries, then he changes that story when WND said they tried three for another person and weren't locked out. This is all bull. It's special treatment for Barry because they screwed up in making up all the bogus history for him. Now they're stuck with what's out there already. They can hardly now go back and change things, so instead they just hide it all.



31. *Bridgette* | [March 18, 2011 at 3:02 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The number beginning with 999..I think I read that it was assigned to refugees/orphans or foreigners.. something like that. Before I look into it further, why would we give a social security card to foreigners in the first place? Workers get workers visas to work in this country. Are they also contributing to social security and where and how do they get it returned? This isn't making sense.

I didn't see anything about it on the government SSA page.
I didn't want to forget that I was looking into this.



- *Miri* | [March 18, 2011 at 3:24 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I don't think they assigned 999 SS#s to foreigners, or any SS#s at all.

Somebody said (do we know this is true?) that his Occidental records had all 9's in the SS#. That probably means that he didn't have a SS# to enter in the data field for the computer record. For whatever reason.

Either he didn't have one or it wasn't expected that he would have one, if he was registered as a foreign student.

Computer data fields are defined as numeric, alphabetic, or both. Usually, to ensure complete data entry, they'd code a program to require a number (like a SS#) to be entered. If skipped over, it would be filled with zeroes, but the program would then reject that as a "null" field. (Again, to make sure the data entry operators didn't leave out any data.)

But this wouldn't account for the fact that in some unusual instances there wouldn't be a number to enter.

So they'd make a trade off. They'd still make the field "mandatory entry" to make sure that data entry operators put in a number, which they would have in most cases; but in the exceptional cases (like no SS#), they'd force them to consciously enter all 9's.

This would indicate to anybody who saw the record later on, that this person had no SS#. And they would know the reason why. In the case of a college, perhaps because it was a foreign student. My guess, and it's only a guess, is that even back in the 70s and 80s, if students were getting any kind of aid from the government to pay for their classes, then they had SS#'s.

I'm talking about the old days. When there were keypunchers or data entry operators who keyed data onto floppy disks. But even today, I bet that people who enter data on computers have to do a similar thing—put in a “dummy” number when there isn't a number to enter.



- Bridgette | [March 18, 2011 at 4:01 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Yes, you reminded me..I read it was a placeholder in the old computer databases. TY.

Also how would they know that 999's were in Obama's Occidental records? Those records were sealed.



32. Miri | [March 18, 2011 at 4:31 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

How do they know about the 999s. Yeah. That's what I'd like to know. We see this stuff thrown out there, but we don't know where it's from. If I had to guess, I'd say they found an Intelius record (or some other database) record that had addresses in CA. And at that time, those 999's were given as his SS#. Would it maybe be in that list of SS#'s for Barry? I don't even know where that is now.



33. Miri | [March 18, 2011 at 4:38 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I'm losing it. I can't keep track of all these facts that probably aren't facts. It's in a story you linked, Bridgette: “In California, where Obama attended Occidental College, there are six addresses listed for him, all within easy driving distance of the college. However, there are three Social Security numbers connected to these addresses, 537 and two others, each beginning with 999, which are not valid SSNs.”

So two records have addresses close to Occidental and they're assuming they were created when he was there at college.

I was looking into that Choicepoint search thingy this morning. It's apparently used by government to do background checks, so one would think it might have more accurate data, but there was some controversy (this is rich!) about it having a conservative bias and how it's hard for people to correct errors. It's somehow, if I understand correctly, also connected with Lexis/Nexis. Our resident news sleuth, Newssleuth, might be familiar with these databases.

Oh, crimony sakes! Look at Wikipedia: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ChoicePoint>

“ChoicePoint (previous NYSE ticker symbol CPS) was a data aggregation company based in Alpharetta, near Atlanta, Georgia, United States, that acted as a private intelligence service to government and industry.[2] It was purchased in February 2008 by Reed Elsevier (parent corporation of LexisNexis) in a cash deal for \$3.6 billion USD.”

Alpha-freaking-retta, Georgia! Now where do we know that location from?



34. *dave m* | [March 18, 2011 at 5:36 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

could be Internet hysteria,

but a few minutes after I sent this to a colleague at work, it disappeared from my search history, fortunately I had the email sent history

See what ya think

http://www.prahlad.org/pub/bearden/scalar_wars.htm



o *Miri* | [March 18, 2011 at 5:55 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Well, Tesla was a genius. Wasn't it said that his experiments caused buildings to shake? Have you ever seen a Tesla coil? It's freakin' amazing. Tesla, iirc, said electricity could easily be free for all.



▪ *Renee* | [March 31, 2011 at 1:52 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Shaking buildings....hummm....Lots of that going on lately around the world no ?



35. *Charles Payne* | [March 27, 2011 at 6:15 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

HERE IS THE ROUTE TO TRUTH: Demand that Congress adopt the following proposed law:
"It is necessary that the citizens of the United States be fully informed as to the identity, qualifications, character and eligibility of all persons who seek election to the office of President of the United States sufficiently in advance of such election or appointment to make an informed decision about whether to vote for such candidate.

Therefore, any person who declares himself or herself to be a candidate for the office of President of the United States, shall produce and make freely available to the public ALL records relating to their personal history, including birth, residency, education, diplomas and degrees conferred, employment, military service, foreign travel, academic records including essays and other papers submitted to any institution of learning, political activities, organizations in which he or she has been or is a member or participant, all sources of income, personal tax returns, gifts received of \$5,000 or more value, from any source, legal proceedings in which he or she was a party, and any convictions of a crime involving moral turpitude. Such candidate shall certify under oath that such information as is published according to this act, is complete and accurate, and includes all information necessary to make the rest of such information not deceptive or misleading.

Such disclosure shall be made at the time of announcing his or her candidacy, and the documents and information disclosed shall be filed with the United States Election Commission. All of such records and information shall be safeguarded by the Commission and the Commission shall promptly allow any

member of the public to have reasonable access to all of such information and to copy all or such parts of it as they may request.

Any State, political party, or other public interest organization who shall be aggrieved by a failure or alleged failure of such a candidate to comply with this act, shall have standing to file a suit directly in the United States Supreme Court against such candidate to compel full and fair compliance, or to challenge the constitutional qualification of the candidate to hold the office of President.”

If the Republican Party introduces the bill, the Dems will look very bad opposing it, and when it passes House and Senate, Obama will give himself away when he vetos it; thus insuring that he will not be re-elected in 2012.



36. philip di russo | [March 29, 2011 at 11:44 am](#) | [Reply](#)

there was a time when illegals could and did get ss #s by going to a cemetery and obtining the deceased names birth and death records and applling for a ss card.



o Renee | [March 31, 2011 at 1:46 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Lovely Philip. People cannot even safely put up headstones...My God.



37. Bridgette | [March 31, 2011 at 1:00 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Col. Hollister Facing Legal Trouble for Verifying that Obama's Social Security Number Reserved for Connecticut Applicants was Never Issued.

Wednesday, March 30, 2011

As previously reported here, Colonel Gregory Hollister verified via the Social Security Number Verification Service that Obama's social security number was "never issued" even though Obama used the social security number in his Selective Service Registration.

The Obots are doing their best to have Col. Hollister prosecuted for verifying the number via the database. I guess they don't understand that Obama works for us which makes Obama our EMPLOYEE. Got Standing!?

<http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/03/col-hollister-facing-legal-trouble-for.html>



o Bridgette | [March 31, 2011 at 1:05 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The left leaning Obama Colorado media is hard at work. This is the second piece published out of Denver. Don't check out Obama's Fraud dear Media... Go for the person that is outing the Usurper! **The WH Squatter is who you should be after if you only had a brain.**

The article is posted at the following:

Miri | March 31, 2011 at 10:59 am

<https://wtpotus.wordpress.com/2011/03/25/obamas-house-of-cards-is-collapsing/comment-page-2/#comment-51182>

Springs Man Claims To Have Obama's Draft Records Col. Gregory Hollister Says He Has Obama's Draft Registration

Hollister told the Gazette that according to the Social Security Administration, that number was never issued, but that's the same Social Security number that appears on Obama's Selective Service documents.

<http://www.thedenverchannel.com/news/27372400/detail.html>



o Bridgette | [March 31, 2011 at 6:34 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

The [SSA] site allows registered users to verify names and Social Security numbers for employment purposes and warns that using it under false pretenses is a violation of federal law.

Obama works for us... We the People ... Obama was sent a 1099 form..

Employers use a variety of forms to record the income earned by an employee or an independent contractor. A 1099 is a tax form for independent contractors that shows how much they made from a certain business. This 1099 income is also reported to the Internal Revenue Service so it has the opportunity to track income from freelance workers. The freelancer will be obligated to make his or her own tax deductions and forward such payments to the IRS.

<http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-a-1099-form.htm>



38. Bridgette | [April 1, 2011 at 11:27 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Birther Who Impersonated Obama Claims He Accessed the President's Selective Services Records March 30, 2011

A Colorado man—and birther—who falsely impersonated President Barack Obama in an attempt to track down the president's personal records could face charges.

According to the Colorado Springs Gazette [we've seen the story]

“According to the Social Security Administration, that number was never issued,” said Hollister, the birther. But **that social security number is on the Selective Service documents** Hollister allegedly managed to obtain.

Hollister said the Social Security number on what he says is Obama's draft registration begins with the numbers 042, which would be issued to someone born in Connecticut, not Hawaii.

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/birther-who-impersonated-obama-claims-he-accessed-the-presidents-selective-services-records/>



- o Bridgette | [April 1, 2011 at 11:32 am](#) | [Reply](#)



- o Bridgette | [April 1, 2011 at 11:46 am](#) | [Reply](#)

They are picking up the Hollister story and only a little on the REAL Story about Obama's fraudulent application with the false SS #!

They show the SS Change of Information form. I can't tell what that one means..anyone? Is this like a change of address form so information was sent to Hollister? They don't explain.

Hey, was Obama born in Connecticut instead of Hawaii?

Will there be charges of Obama impersonating a president, or perpetrating fraud on U.S. citizens, identity theft, or false and forged documentation? When will the media look at that angle? This time we aren't shooting the messenger. If he did wrong, it was to save the country..not to destroy it!



- Renee | [April 1, 2011 at 11:51 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Hey, was Obama born in Connecticut instead of Hawaii?

That name Wood....



- Miri | [April 1, 2011 at 11:56 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I think their point is that Hollister requested a copy of the documents and to do so, he registered with his own address, so the information was sent to him (if their allegation is

true) and at the same time, the software system changed Barry's address to Mr. Hollister's. They're just copying that article we found earlier this week, from Colorado Springs. It says he could face charges, but so far as I know, that's only supposition and speculation put out there by obots. Has anyone ever mentioned that there's an on-going investigation by actual legal authorities into this alleged action by Hollister? I don't think so. The obots think they're so clever because somebody CLAIMS to be able to read postal service bar codes and then CLAIMS that somehow that barcode proves that Mr. Hollister was sent the documents (which he must have been because he posted them!). But so far, all claims by obots.



39. [What a Hoot](#) | [April 2, 2011 at 9:31 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

I am really confused. Way back when there first was a question about Obama's ss#, I went to familysearch, which eventually led me to a death index and the name associated with the CT number was to a LADY. Everything I found was exactly like the article I had originally read, which said a LADY. A couple of days later I went to show others and Nothing came up on the index. Now, everyone seems to be saying this CT number is to a MAN. Back then I did not know about screen shots. Has anyone else been walking around for the longest time, like me, thinking it was a dead LADY with the original CT number? Anyone remember any of this? It is driving me crazy.



o [Miri](#) | [April 2, 2011 at 10:38 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

You may be correct. They scrubadubdub as soon as the obots notice anybody finding information. I don't remember when the number was first revealed. I do wish someone had saved a screenshot. Famous last words—I can't even count anymore the times when I should have saved a screenshot, but didn't. I do remember some people saying it was a woman. Actually, all we have to go on now is that birth year of 1890 and that it's a CT number.



▪ [Leza](#) | [April 2, 2011 at 11:02 pm](#) | [Reply](#)



▪ [Miri](#) | [April 2, 2011 at 11:10 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

That's so funny, Leza. What might have been if not for the sex change? HA!



▪ [Kathy](#) | [April 2, 2011 at 11:12 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

ROTFLOL.....Where did that photo come from? It does have the widows peak hair line like the double in photographs. Was he a she, or a cross dresser?



- [Leza](#) | [April 3, 2011 at 12:14 am](#) | [Reply](#)

LOL, I found it searching Obama jpg on Startpage. Im not sure if its been photo shopped or not. Bridgette could you work your magic and see if the picture has been photoshopped, please... It sure would answer ALOT of questions.



- [Miri](#) | [April 3, 2011 at 12:36 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Kathy, what's fascinating is that whoever created that photo did a hell of a better job than whoever photoshopped ANY of the Obama Fab Family photos. This person has a future. He or she should offer most excellent photoshopping services to the next BOGUS POTUS. Or this one! Do you think anybody'd notice if they changed every darned Fab Family photo at this point? You know, an expert could really clean them up. Straighten those giraffe necks. Get rid of those extra fingers, hands, third legs. That is an excellent photo. Either it's real, that's his twin sister who didn't get a sex change, or he's a cross dresser. And better looking than his own wife.



- [Bridgette](#) | [April 3, 2011 at 12:07 am](#) | [Reply](#)

You found it! You found it! That is what the He/ She is hiding! That is what would prove embarrassing if the BC were released! It makes perfect sense. Wow, now we have a black, Muslim, New Guinea born he/she as a president! The Left will be ecstatic. It is their dream of diversity all wrapped up in one package!



- [Leza](#) | [April 3, 2011 at 12:27 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Oh my gosh Bridge, I am still LMBO. Even my hubby is rolling..toooo pefect!



- [Bridgette](#) | [April 3, 2011 at 12:19 am](#) | [Reply](#)

Answer to your request below. This is the ID of the photo. Note bold.

```

ÿØÿà JFIF      ``  ÿá |Exif II*      1
& i†      2 Picasa 2.7 •      0210      P      n      0100 ýí
Photoshop 3.0 8BIM      P
Picasa 2.7
  
```




- *Miri* | [April 3, 2011 at 12:42 am](#) | [Reply](#)

But what does that mean?



- *Kathy* | [April 2, 2011 at 10:52 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

What a Hoot....Do you happen to remember the ladies name you saw?



40. *Miri* | [April 2, 2011 at 11:13 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

<http://thedailypen.blogspot.com/2011/04/final-report-obamas-birth-announcements.html>

What do you all make of this article about the birth announcements. I haven't read it all myself yet, or digested it. But it seems to make some new claims that I'm not sure are correct. Do you know anything about this blogger?



- *Bridgette* | [April 2, 2011 at 11:57 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Didn't read it yet, but yes know daily pen. Don't know if the author is the same. The article featured was from dailypen. Newsleuth called it speculative at the time.

OCON Docs: Hawaii Ballot Chief, Not Grandma, Called Obama To Hawaii In 2008

Official Certifications of Nomination (OCON)

<https://wtpotus.wordpress.com/2011/01/13/ocon-docs-hawaii-ballot-chief-not-grandma-called-obama-to-hawaii-in-2008/>



- *Miri* | [April 3, 2011 at 12:24 am](#) | [Reply](#)

I thought the name sounded familiar. He makes a lot of claims in that new story and I'm still wondering if somebody's assuming instead of confirming. Some EVIDENCE would help. Like, how did the guy confirm that thousands of illegitimate babies were announced as offspring of "Mr. and Mrs."? I believe that Hawaiian law didn't allow for a father's name on the BC if the mother was single. So the child was given the mother's surname. There would be no "Mr." for the newspaper to list. So where would the father's name come from? Still, how did he confirm in even one instance (evidence please) that an illegitimate child was announced as a child of a Mr. and Mrs. when there was no mister?

As for the birth numbers given at an ancillary location and then the announcements made in birth number order instead of alphabetically, that makes little sense, too. It doesn't agree with what others have found out—that the State Registrar assigned the BC#'s at the

central location. They could be filed in any office, but the number was assigned when the record was accepted (meaning if not in a hospital, the supplementary evidence was presented, vetted, and ACCEPTED by the registrar as legitimate).

How does he know the BC#s for any of the children announced? Is he assuming that since they're not in alphabetical or date born order, they must be in order by source of registration? While that might be, how does he KNOW it is? EVIDENCE, please? Some examples to prove the allegation is true or even likely to be true?



o [Bridgette](#) | [April 2, 2011 at 11:59 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Same author as the OCON.

Will see if he has different information or picked it up from Lady Forest.

Saturday, April 2, 2011

FINAL REPORT: Obama's Birth Announcements Fail To Indicate "Natural Born" Status

A **new investigation** of Obama's birth announcements appearing in Hawaii's two primary newspapers in August, 1961 shows, conclusively, *they were the result of a registration record taken by the municipal health authority*, not a medically verified "Live" birth documented as occurring at a Hawaiian hospital, per an officially defined "vital event" by the U.S. Department of Health, National Vital Statistics Division protocols.