

## Chapter Twenty-Four

# DOOMSDAY MECHANISMS

*The decline of American prosperity; the increase in the size of government; the decrease in personal freedom; the growth of taxes; evidence that this is according to plan by an elite ruling group which hopes to merge the United States into world government on the basis of "equality" with less developed nations; the environmentalist movement shown to be an outgrowth of that plan.*

## WHO OWNS THE NATIONAL DEBT?

It has been said that we need not worry about interest on the national debt because "We owe it to ourselves." Let's take a look at who owes what to whom.

The Federal Reserve holds but a small portion of the national debt, only about 8%.

Foreign investors own approximately 27%,

Agencies of the federal government have 28%

(the IOUs that replaced money taken from the funds such as the Social Security Fund).

Private-sector investors in the U.S. hold the largest share of about 37%.

It is partly true, therefore, that "We owe it to ourselves" or at least that *all* of us owe it to *some* of us.

The *some* of us who receive the interest are private investors, large institutions such as banks, corporations, insurance companies, and investment funds (the money represents pooled assets belonging to thousands of small investors).

That's the good news. The bad news is that the government obtains every cent of the money it pays to us by confiscating it from us in the first place. If it is true that we owe it to ourselves, then it is also true that we pay it to ourselves. The money goes out of one pocket back into the other-minus a handling fee. The government takes \$1000 from us in taxes and inflation and gives us back \$350. The so-called "benefit" to the public is but a **giant scam**.

And more bad news: When people purchase government bonds, there is less money available for investment in private industry. It is well known that government credit "crowds out" private credit. The result is that the productive side of the nation is handicapped by unfair competition for investment capital. To obtain new money for growth, private companies must pay higher interest rates. These are passed on to the consumer in the form of higher prices. Many companies are forced to curtail their plans for expansion, and potentially new jobs are never created. Some companies are forced out of business altogether, and their employees are put out of work.

The economy is always retarded by government debt. The larger the debt, the greater the damage.

The 27% portion of the national debt held by foreign investors may not seem like a large *percentage*, but it represents a huge amount of money nevertheless. A trillion dollars cannot be ignored. These bonds could become a great problem down the line as they mature. So far, they have been a partial blessing because they were purchased with money that already existed. Therefore, they were not inflationary. But it is not difficult to imagine future conditions under which the bond holders would decide not to renew. In order to pay off those bonds on maturity, the Treasury would have to issue new ones. The Federal Reserve then would have to purchase the new bonds with fiat money. Therefore, foreign-held federal debt is a ticking time bomb. If it should ever have to be picked up by the Fed, the inflationary impact on our country would be staggering.

40% of our private incomes. State, county, and local taxes are on top of that. Inflation feeds on what is left. We spend half of each year working for the government.

A study by the AFL-CIO in 1977 revealed that, in spite of wage increases in terms of dollars, the *real* wages of the average American-in terms of what he can buy with those dollars-were going down. That trend was confirmed in 1980 by the U.S. Census Bureau. In 1992, the Consumers' Union analyzed how many hours one had to work to buy common items compared to thirty years previously. Some low-priced items-such as long-distance phone calls, gasoline, food products, and wrist-watches-were cheaper in 1992 in terms of hours worked to acquire them. But the higher priced items-such as housing, college educations, and health care were far more costly than ever. The report concludes:

*The average U.S. household has maintained its living standard largely because families are working more hours. Millions of women entered the work force in the past 25 years. In 1970, about 21 million women worked full time. Now that figure is over 36 million. That has helped to keep family buying power fairly stable. But for many families, it now represents the labor of two earners rather than one.*

The message here is that **real wages in America have declined**. Young couples with a single income now have **a lower standard of living than their parents did**. In spite of two incomes, **the real net worth of the average household is falling**. The amount of leisure time is **shrinking**. The percentage of Americans who own their homes is **dropping**. The age at which a family acquires a first home is **rising**. The number of families counted among the middle class is **falling**. The size of the **family savings is smaller**. The number of people living below the officially defined poverty level is **rising**. The rate of personal bankruptcy is **triple** of what it was in the 1960s. **Over 90% of all Americans are broke at age 65.**

## THE NEW WORLD ORDER

**None of this is happening by accident.** Chapters five and six documented **the currently unfolding plan to create a functional world government within the framework of the United Nations**. Often referred to as ***The New World Order*** by its advocates, the proposed global government is **designed upon the principles of socialism**. It is the dream-come-true for the world's socialist theoreticians, politicians, and technicians who see it as **the ultimate laboratory for their social experiments upon mankind**.

## AMERICA IS THE TARGET

The New World Order cannot become a functional reality so long as the United States remains able to go it alone. America is viewed as a potential bull in the china shop. Right now, it is safely under control, but **the world planners are worried** it might break loose in the future. **If the American people were to awaken to the realities of world politics and regain control over their government, they still would have the military and economic power to break away**. Among the world planners, therefore, it has become **the prime directive to weaken the United States both militarily and economically**. And this directive has come from **American** leaders, not those of other countries. **CFR members sitting in the White House, the State Department, the Defense Department, and the Treasury are now working to finalize that part of the plan. It is yet** one more doomsday mechanism that, once it gains sufficient momentum, will pass the critical point of no return.

## THE ENVIRONMENTAL-POLLUTION MODEL

**The final candidate for a useful global threat was pollution of the environment.**

This was viewed as the **most likely to succeed** because it could be related to observable conditions such as smog and water pollution-in other words, **it would be based partly on fact and, therefore, be credible**.

Predictions could be made showing end-of-earth scenarios just as horrible as atomic warfare. Accuracy in

these predictions would not be important. Their purpose would be to frighten, not to inform. **It might even be necessary to deliberately poison the environment to make the predictions more convincing** and to focus the public mind on fighting a new enemy, more fearful than any invader from another nation-or even from outer space. **The masses would more willingly accept a falling standard of living, tax increases, and bureaucratic intervention in their lives as simply "the price we must pay to save Mother Earth."**

**If a vision of death and destruction from pollution could be implanted into the public subconscious mind, then the global battle against it could, indeed, replace war as the mechanism for control.** Did the *Report from Iron Mountain* really say that?

**It certainly did**-and much more. Here are just a few of the pertinent passages:

*When it comes to postulating a credible substitute for war ... the "alternate enemy" must imply a more immediate, tangible, and directly felt threat of destruction. It must justify the need for taking and paying a "blood price" in wide areas of human concern. In this respect, the possible substitute enemies noted earlier would be insufficient. One exception might be the environmental-pollution model, if the danger to society it posed was genuinely imminent. The fictive models would have to carry the weight of extraordinary conviction, underscored with a not inconsiderable actual sacrifice of life .... It may be, for instance, that gross pollution of the environment can eventually replace the possibility of mass destruction by nuclear weapons as the principal apparent threat to the survival of the species. Poisoning of the air, and of the principal sources of food and water supply, is already well advanced, and at first glance would seem promising in this respect; it constitutes a threat that can be dealt with only through social organization and political power .... It is true that the rate of pollution could be increased selectively for this purpose.... But the pollution problem has been so widely publicized in recent years that it seems highly improbable that a program of deliberate environmental poisoning could be implemented in a politically acceptable manner. However unlikely some of the possible alternative enemies we have mentioned may seem, we must emphasize that one must be found of credible quality and magnitude, if a transition to peace is ever to come about without social disintegration. It is more probable, in our judgment, that such a threat will have to be invented.*

## **ENVIRONMENTALISM - A SUBSTITUTE FOR WAR**

It is beyond the scope of this study to prove that **currently accepted predictions of environmental doom are based on exaggerated and fraudulent "scientific studies"**. But such proof is easily found if one is willing to look at the raw data and the assumptions upon which the projections are based. More important, however, is the question of why end-of-world scenarios based on phony scientific studies - or no studies at all - are **uncritically publicized by the CFR-controlled media**; or why radical environmental **groups advocating socialist doctrine and anti-business programs are lavishly funded by CFR-dominated foundations, banks, and corporations**, the very groups that would appear to have the most to lose.

*The Report from Iron Mountain* answers those questions.

As the *Report* pointed out, **truth is not important in these matters. It's what people can be made to believe that counts.** **"Credibility" is the key, not reality.** There is just enough truth in the fact of environmental pollution to make predictions of planetary doom in the year two-thousand-something seem believable. **All that is required is media cooperation and repetition.** The plan has apparently worked. People of the industrialized nations have been subjected to a barrage of documentaries, dramas, feature films, ballads, poems, bumper stickers, posters, marches, speeches, seminars, conferences, and concerts. The result has been phenomenal. **Politicians are now elected to office on platforms consisting of nothing more than an expressed concern for the environment and a promise to clamp down on those nasty industries. No one questions the damage done to the economy or the nation.** It makes no difference when the very planet on which we live is sick and dying. **Not one in a thousand will question that underlying premise.** How could it be false? Look at all the movie celebrities and rock stars who have joined the movement.

...

## HUMANITY ITSELF IS THE TARGET

The Club of Rome is a group of global planners who annually release end-of-world scenarios based on predictions of overpopulation and famine. Their membership is international, but the American roster includes such **well-known CFR members as Jimmy Carter**, Harlan Cleveland, Claiborne Pell, and Sol Linowitz. Their solution to overpopulation? A world government to control birth rates and, if necessary, apply euthanasia. That is a gentle word for **the deliberate killing** of the old, the weak, and of course the uncooperative. Following the same reasoning advanced at Iron Mountain, the Club of Rome has concluded that **fear of environmental disaster could be used as a substitute enemy** for the purpose of unifying the masses behind **their** program. In their 1991 book entitled *The First Global Revolution*, we find this:

**In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. ... All these dangers are caused by human intervention .... The real enemy, then, is humanity itself.**

Socialist theoreticians have always been fascinated by the question of **controlling population growth**. It excites their imagination because it is **the ultimate bureaucratic plan**. If the real enemy is humanity itself, as the Club of Rome says, then humanity itself must become the target. Fabian Socialist Bertrand Russell expressed it thus:

*I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing .... War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full .... A scientific world society cannot be stable unless there is world government. ... It will be necessary to find ways of preventing an increase in world population. If this is to be done otherwise than by wars, pestilences and famines, it will demand a powerful international authority. This authority should deal out the world's food to the various nations in proportion to their population at the time of the establishments of the authority. If any nation subsequently increased its population, it should not on that account receive any more food. The motive for not increasing population would therefore be very compelling. 2*

Very compelling, indeed. These quiet-spoken socialists are not kidding around. For example, one of the most visible "environmentalists" and advocate of population control is **Jacques Cousteau**. Interviewed by the United Nations *UNESCO Courier* in November of 1991, Cousteau spelled it out. Speaking of death by cancer, he said:

*Should we eliminate suffering diseases? The idea is beautiful, but perhaps not a benefit for the long term. We should not allow our dread of diseases to endanger the future of our species. This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it.*

If history has proven anything, it is that **men with financial and political power are quite capable of heinous plots against their fellow men**. They have launched wars, caused depressions, and created famines to suit their personal agendas. **We have little reason to believe that the world leaders of today are more saintly than their predecessors.**

Furthermore, we must not be fooled by pretended concern for Mother Earth. **The call-to-arms for saving the planet is a gigantic ruse.** There is just enough truth to environmental pollution to make the show "credible," as *The Report from Iron Mountain* phrased it, but **the end-of-earth scenarios which drive the movement forward are bogus.** The real objective in all of this is **world government**, the ultimate doomsday mechanism from which there can be no escape. **Destruction of the economic strength of the industrialized**

nations is merely a necessary prerequisite for ensnaring them into the global web. The thrust of **the current ecology movement is directed totally to that end.**

## SUMMARY

The United States government is mired in a 5-trillion-dollar debt. By 1993, net interest payments on that debt were running \$214 billion per year. That consumes about 14% of all federal revenue and costs the average family over \$5,000 each year.

Nothing is purchased by it. It merely pays interest. It represents the government's largest single expense. Interest on the national debt is already consuming more than 57% of all the revenue collected from income taxes. At the present rate of expansion, it will consume 100% in 1998.

By 1992, there were more people working for government than for manufacturing companies in the private sector. There are more citizens receiving government checks than there are paying income taxes. When it is possible for people to vote on issues involving the transfer of wealth to themselves from others, the ballot box becomes a weapon whereby the majority plunders the minority.

That is the point of no return. It is a doomsday mechanism. By 1992, more than half of all federal outlays went for what are called entitlements. Here is another doomsday mechanism. Entitlements are expenses—such as Social Security and Medicare—which are based on promises of future payments. Entitlements represent 52% of federal outlays. When this is added to the 14% that is now being spent for interest payments on the national debt, we come to the startling conclusion that **two-thirds of all federal expenses are now entirely automatic**, and that percentage is growing each month.

The biggest doomsday mechanism of all is the Federal Reserve System. Every cent of our money supply came into being for the purpose of being loaned to someone. Those dollars will disappear when the loans are paid back. If we tried to pay off the national debt, our money supply would be undermined. Under the Federal Reserve System, therefore, Congress would be fearful to eliminate the national debt even if it wanted to.

Political environmentalism has caused millions of acres of timber and agricultural land to be taken out of production. Heavy industry has been chased from our shores by our own government. High taxes, rules beyond reason for safety devices in the work place, so-called fair-employment practices, and mandatory health insurance are rapidly destroying what is left of the private sector. The result is unemployment and dislocation for millions of American workers. Government moves in to fill the void it creates, and bureaucracy grows by the hour.

Federal taxes now take more than 40% of our private incomes. State, county, and local taxes are on top of that. Inflation feeds on what is left. We spend half of each year working for the government. Real wages in America have declined. Young couples with a single income have a lower standard of living than their parents did. The net worth of the average household is falling. The amount of leisure time is shrinking. The percentage of Americans who own their homes is dropping. The age at which a family acquires a first home is rising. The number of families counted among the middle class is falling. The number of people living below the officially defined poverty level is rising. Over 90% of all Americans are broke at age 65.

None of this is accidental. **It is the fulfillment of a plan by members of the CFR who comprise the hidden government of the United States.** Their goal is the deliberate weakening of the industrialized nations as a prerequisite to bringing them into a world government built upon the principles of socialism, with themselves in control.

The origin of many of the stratagems in this plan can be traced to a government-sponsored think-tank study released in 1966 called the ***Report from Iron Mountain***. The purpose of the study was to analyze methods by which a government can perpetuate itself in power - ways to control its citizens and prevent them from rebelling.

The conclusion of the report was that, in the past, war has been the only reliable means to achieve that goal. Under world government, however, war technically would be impossible. So the main purpose of the study was to explore other methods for controlling populations and keeping them loyal to their leaders. It was concluded that a suitable substitute for war would require a new enemy which posed a frightful threat to survival. **Neither the threat nor the enemy had to be real.** They merely had to be believable.

Several surrogates for war were considered, but the only one holding real promise was the environmental-pollution model. This was viewed as the most likely to succeed because (1) it could be related to observable conditions such as smog and water pollution- in other words, it would be based partly on fact and, therefore, believable-and (2) predictions could be made showing end-of-earth scenarios just as horrible as atomic warfare. Accuracy in these predictions would not be important. Their purpose would be to **frighten**, not to inform.

**While the followers of the current environmental movement are preoccupied with visions of planetary doom, the leaders have an entirely different agenda. It is world government.**