

My position on Donald Trump



[Dec22](#)
by [Jon Rappoport](#)

[I've written many words](#) about the man and what he's been doing. To repeat a few of them, his two most important achievements are: he's contributing, in a major way, to [the ongoing destruction of the credibility of big media](#); and [he kept Hillary Clinton out of the White House](#).

Concerning the media—I am tremendously enthusiastic about what Trump has done. I pop champagne corks on that one. He's provided a public service for the ages. [We would be light years beyond where we are now, if the world of conventional journalism hadn't sold its soul, its morality, its intelligence, and its hunger for getting to the bottom of things so long ago.](#)

In his own improvisations and riffs, Trump has turned the media stars on their heads looking out of their asses. [He has been merciless.](#)

He has worked acrobatic tricks [worthy of a Salvador Dali](#).

When they thought he was here, he was there.

When they thought they had him pinned against the wall, he vanished and there was no wall.

When they thought he was done, he was starting.

When they assumed they were occupying a higher position, he was poking them in the solar plexus of their pretensions and exposing them as rank amateurs.

They go sober-serious, he laughs.

They deride and mock him, he reminds them they're supposed to be professional.

They scream and go ballistic, he walks away.

He's supposed to be in Washington, he's in New York.

He's supposed to lay out his schedule for them, he vanishes.

He shouldn't talk to a foreign leader, he talks to a foreign leader.

The Washington Post reports the Russians hacked the election, he says the CIA is making it up.

Make no mistake about it, [Globalists of every stripe and disguise infect Washington like the plague.](#)

[Their goals, reputations, connections, and paychecks are on the line.](#)

They want to neutralize Trump by any means possible. He has hammered the TPP, and said he'll cancel it (Chuck Schumer has already said it's dead). He is raising the banner of nationalism, not Globalism, and he promises to bring back jobs to America...everything he promises in this regard is running counter to the Rockefeller agenda of destruction.

Will he change the pernicious culture, which is devolving to the point where "free-everything" for nothing is considered the most illuminated version of political philosophy? He will try, but indirectly, by opening up new levels of employment.

Will he continue to slam major media control of the information flow? Yes. In that regard, [he has already done more than any president in modern history.](#)

And if language is important (and it is), his communication with the American people, in its direct colloquial style, is a distinct departure from the polished, empty, grotesque media/politician mechanical mind-numbing bullshit that has all but taken over the landscape.

Will he try to curtail the divide-and-conquer “race-war” mentality that has been heavily promoted over the last eight years? Again, his basic strategy is: give people jobs. Renew the economy. Float all boats.

Will Trump curtail open borders and reduce the reality of terrorists and criminals entering the US?

Will he knock aside the unproven claims of global warmists and erase the absurd and dangerous carbon-tax plan?

Will he rebuild the fading infrastructure of this country?

Will he put Common Core out of its misery?

I believe he will make progress in all these areas. How much progress? Impossible to predict.

Will he refuse to launch wars and covert ops of Empire?

Will he bring massive numbers of US troops stationed abroad back home, kick the neocons out on their asses, and scale back the enormous influence of the military-industrial complex, which, after JFK, encircled America with its dream of a forever World Empire?

This would be one of the most important actions he could launch as president. And the effort is like trying to turn an oil tanker around in a small space. To the degree he rebuilds the military, he’ll keep pouring \$\$ into the maw of the military-industrial machine.

I think it’s possible that he and his advisors see, from a purely pragmatic viewpoint, that American Empire has reached an end-point.

It is a failure.

It can’t go further.

The blowback on America has outflanked its lists of Empire-conquests.

However, until Trump shows he’s really going to try to cancel the neocon American Empire, and means it, I’m not making any assumptions whatsoever.

Is Donald Trump more than a puppet in the hands of Globalists? I believe he is more than that.

Is he a mere tool who was handed the election by Globalists who realized Hillary Clinton was too sick and deranged to stay the course in the White House? I believe he is not a mere tool in their hands, even if they ended up supporting him.

Does he want the job of president ONLY for the purpose of feathering his personal nest and stroking his own ego? I don’t think that’s the case now, if it ever was.

However, unraveling his myriad business interests is necessary, in order to discover whether his decisions as president add to his wealth. Handing over those businesses to his family isn’t a barrier against self-aggrandizing policies. Trump is, after all, a real estate hustler from way back.

One question in this regard: Trump appears ready to give US corporations a tax holiday, so they can bring back huge amounts of money they’ve stashed overseas, for the express purpose of buying shares of their own companies. This practice has been a way CEOs can make their operations look good (share prices go up) while actually producing nothing new. As a reader of mine suggested (and I ask), will this Trump policy of share-buying do nothing to rejuvenate those businesses, thus creating no new jobs? And will this policy enable Trump’s family to buy shares in whatever piece of the Trump empire is publicly traded, pushing up its stock price? This needs serious attention.

Will Trump roll back the many local incarnations of the UN’s criminal Agenda 21 blueprint for closely monitored, extensively planned technocratic towns and cities? I’m not sure he’s even aware of this massive

incursion on life in America—aside from sanctuary cities fronted by virtue-signaling liberal snowflakes and paid operatives. He will take steps to reduce those bastions of hope and change.

Is it possible that, when all is said and done, Trump's most important action will have been his merciless attack on major media—and by extension, their Globalist handlers?

Yes.

And by my measure, he would then have achieved a step toward freeing information and truth from its century-long prison of mind control. **The consequences of such a liberation could be titanic.** In the long run, **Trump is far less important than the millions of people who could wake up from their deep slumber.**

I believe Trump's plan to bring employment back to America will involve a kind of FDR/New Deal program of trillion-dollar government contracts to rebuild the infrastructure. This is by no means free-market America. If his plan gets through the Congress, many new jobs will be created, yes. On the other hand, the \$\$ power of the federal government will increase. **It's never a great idea to give the feds more control as the number-one employer in the nation.**

I think Trump favors jobs, all jobs, and will go to extremes to create them.

This includes giving the green light to tech giants to keep carrying out contracts to expand the Surveillance State.

He'll find ways to allow the FDA to license new drugs more quickly, thus maiming and killing more Americans.

He'll cast a blind eye toward big corporate toxic GMOs/pesticides.

He'll overlook and ignore major areas of agricultural and industrial pollution, and permit them to expand.

Will Trump curtail the disturbing trend of militarizing local police forces across America?

Doubtful.

Will he root out and eliminate the power of vicious gangs in inner cities—gangs who are holding residents hostage in their own communities? I believe he'll make at least a minimum effort. Even if a president is motivated, that's a very tall order.

I assume that as a businessman he has committed criminal acts of one kind or another. Do they rank as high as the pay-for-play Clinton Foundation and the mass obliteration of Libya, two of Hillary Clinton's favorite operations? No. Not even close.

Will Trump favor big-corporate cronies with the gift of government contracts? I would think so.

Will he make deals and side-deals, some of which go beyond the literal bounds of law, to advance his presidential agenda? I would certainly think so. What president hasn't?

Could everything I'm mentioning in this article go by the boards, because Trump's enemies create sudden disasters for him to manage—disasters which dwarf all other issues and programs? Absolutely.

Could Trump himself make a fatal error that brings his house down? For example, could his advisors convince him to make a wide-ranging deal with Russia that includes the extradition of Edward Snowden to stand trial in America? Maybe.

Could Trump be convinced to start a dangerous war somewhere, perhaps in response to a planned false-flag operation designed for that very purpose? It's possible.

Does he see that so-called liberals, who are really socialists and Globalists and technocrats, have come very close to taking over this country, under the banner of “share and care” and “empathy” and “love”—behind which they hide an endless supply of venom for those people who believe in

- a) working for their own rewards;
- b) individualism; and
- c) independence of thought?

I think he plans to approach that gigantic reality with jobs and more jobs; his blanket solution.

Put America back to work. Is that a real solution?

Yes, it certainly helps.

But here we are talking about the culture, and what he can possibly say to the American people to restore a sense of traditional values (life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness) that doesn't come across as vapid sentimentality.

He is a clever man.

I believe he wants to sidestep a direct confrontation with “the values issue.” Instead, he wants to cure the genuine desperation many Americans feel because they can't find decent work.

He wants to create a boom in the domestic economy that floats all boats.

I can't fault him for that.

However, a major part of the US economy has long been predicated on jobs that either produce nothing or produce toxic outcomes. Those engines aren't going to go away.

I believe Trump can make progress in decimating the political-correctness disease.

I believe he can help reverse the obsession with parsing people's words and finding “hidden racist content.”

And the issue where all this rubber meets the road is: immigration.

His opponents will keep saying that anything less than wide open borders is Hitlerian.

His point is: there is a threat and a danger.

Letting in felons; letting in potential terrorists and actual terrorists;
letting in people who hate America and want everything they can get for free in America;
letting in people who take jobs from Americans (including legal immigrants);
letting in people who overburden the economy via all the free services they can obtain—this is wrong, this is suicide, this is crazy.

It has nothing to do with racism.

If he succeeds in reducing this immigration threat—wall or no wall—he will go a significant distance in proving that protecting America has nothing to do with hatred.

At the same time (and I have to stress this again), he can't decide to keep forwarding Empire abroad, thus exacerbating the desire for revenge against America among many people who feel the destructive force of the US military machine.

If he turns into just another jingoist, he's finished. And he should be.

He needs to talk to Ron Paul. At length.

What about draining the swamp in Washington? This is another case of trying to turn around an oil tanker in a small space. He needs to provide vivid examples.

For starters, he should pursue, with all speed, the gathering of specific evidence for the prosecution of Hillary and Bill Clinton, vis-à-vis the Clinton Foundation and its nefarious activities. No stone should be left unturned. That would set a new tone.

For people who need a heavy dose of who and what the Clintons are, I recommend immersing yourself in everything the late Christopher Hitchens wrote and said about them. (I'm sure Hitchens would hate a Trump presidency.)

If I were in charge of everything from the beginning of the Republic in the 18th century (!), my goal would have been to make a hundred Republics with severely limited governments on this continent—with virtually no foreign entanglements of any kind (political or commercial)—instead, **opting for self-sufficiency as the primary value.** But that's another story for another time.

Meanwhile, I see a glint of light here, and I see risks and possibilities, and **I see that perhaps we can make steps toward ridding America of the festering woes that have beset it:** Empire, on the one hand; **hideous liberalism masking a technocratic Globalist takeover** that would sink us, on the other hand.

At no time over the past 30 years of working as a reporter have I felt all is lost.

At no time have I felt that the forces arrayed against us are too great or too smart.

At no time have I felt that all doors are closed. I take the long view.

Many things can happen to wake people up—mainly themselves when they finally feel their way of operating and living has become self-defeating.

Rather than opting for pure hope now, I believe **Trump's feet should be held to the fire.** He made promises. Those promises are clear. We should see authentic efforts from him in those directions.

I'm aware that some readers can only accept extreme views of Trump or any politician. Wonderful or terrible. Messianic or hideous. That's not what I've presented here. I've presented what I see. So be it.

Stay tuned...

Jon Rappoport